

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/509,377	08/28/2000	Sergey Matasov		9553
7	590 03/14/2006		EXAM	INER
Sergey Matas	ov		LEUBECKE	R, JOHN P
Ranka Dambis	7/1 55			
Riga, LV104	8		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LATVIA			3739	

DATE MAILED: 03/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of About Insurant	09/509,377	MATASOV, SERGEY
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit
	John P. Leubecker	3739
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		
This application is abandoned in view of:		
 Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of b) (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper of the property of the p	lailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on), which is after the expiration of the
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (n consists only of: (1) a timely filed an Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);	nendment which places the
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See		mpt at a proper reply, to the non-
(d) No reply has been received.		
 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8. (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was	5). received on (with a Certificate in the control of the issue fee (and the issue	ate of Mailing or Transmission dated
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ 1	The publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$
(c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.	
 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requal Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received onafter the expiration of the period for reply. 		
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.		
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. 	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	gnee of the entire interest, or all of
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 	attorney or agent (acting in a representation)	entative capacity under 37 CFR
 The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim 		e the period for seeking court review
7. 🛮 The reason(s) below:		
A reply was received on March 13, 2005, May 2, 2000 August 5, 2005 which was deemed untimely in resprapplication is abandoned as of December 28, 2005. Applicant to aid in future correspondence.	onse to the notice of non-complia	nt mailed June 28, 2006. The
		Sehri Leubecker Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3739
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra minimize any negative effects on patent term.	w the holding of abandonment under 37 (CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to

710.06 [R-3] Situations When Reply Period Is Reset or Restarted

Where the citation of a reference is incorrect or an Office action contains some other *>error that affects applicant's ability to reply to the Office action< and this error is called to the attention of the Office within 1 month of the mail date of the action, the Office will restart the previously set period for reply to run from the date the error is corrected, if requested to do so by applicant. If the error is brought to the attention of the Office within the period for reply set in the Office action but more than 1 month after the date of the Office action, the Office will set a new period for reply, if requested to do so by the applicant, to substantially equal the time remaining in the reply period. For example, if the error is brought to the attention of the Office 5 weeks after mailing the action, then the Office would set a new 2-month period for reply. The new period for reply must be at least 1 month and would run from the date the error is corrected. See MPEP § 707.05(g) for the manner of correcting the record where there has been an erroneous citation.

Where for any reason it becomes necessary to remail any action (MPEP § 707.13), the action should be correspondingly redated, as it is the remailing date that establishes the beginning of the period for reply. *Ex parte Gourtoff*, 1924 C.D. 153, 329 O.G. 536 (Comm'r Pat. 1924). For Image File Wrapper (IFW) processing, see IFW Manual.

A supplementary action after a rejection explaining the references more explicitly or giving the reasons more fully, even though no further references are cited, establishes a new date from which the statutory period runs.

If the error in citation or other defective Office action is called to the attention of the Office after the expiration of the period for reply, the period will not be restarted and any appropriate extension fee will be required to render a reply timely. The Office letter correcting the error will note that the time period for reply remains as set forth in the previous Office action.

See MPEP § 505, § 512, and § 513 for U.S. Patent and Trademark Office practice on date stamping documents.

In the event that correspondence from the Office is received late (A) due to delays in the U.S. Postal Service, or (B) because the mail was delayed in leaving the USPTO (the postmark date is later than the mail date printed on the correspondence), applicants may petition to reset the period for reply, which petition shall be evaluated according to the guidelines which follow. Where the Office action involved in the petition was mailed by a Technology Center (TC), the authority to decide such petitions has been delegated to the TC Director. See Notice entitled "Petition to reset a period for response due to late receipt of a PTO action," 1160 O.G. 14 (March 1, 1994).

I. PETITIONS TO RESET A PERIOD FOR REPLY DUE TO LATE RECEIPT OF AN OFFICE ACTION

The Office will grant a petition to restart the previously set period for reply to an Office action to run from the date of receipt of the Office action at the correspondence address when the following criteria are met:

- (A) the petition is filed within 2 weeks of the date of receipt of the Office action at the correspondence address;
- (B) a substantial portion of the set reply period had elapsed on the date of receipt (e.g., at least 1 month of a 2- or 3-month reply period had elapsed); and
- (C) the petition includes (1) evidence showing the date of receipt of the Office action at the correspondence address (e.g., a copy of the Office action having the date of receipt of the Office action at the correspondence address stamped thereon, a copy of the envelope (which contained the Office action) having the

date of receipt of the Office action at the correspondence address stamped thereon, etc.), and (2) a statement setting forth the date of receipt of the Office action at the correspondence address and explaining how the evidence being presented establishes the date of receipt of the Office action at the correspondence address.

There is no statutory requirement that a shortened statutory period of longer than 30 days to reply to an Office action be reset due to delay in the mail or in the Office. However, when a substantial portion of the set reply period had elapsed on the date of receipt at the correspondence address (e.g., at least 1 month of a 2- or 3-month period had elapsed), the procedures set forth above for late receipt of action are available. Where an Office action was received with less than 2 months remaining in a shortened statutory period of 3 months the period may be restarted from the date of receipt. Where the period remaining is between 2 and 3 months, the period will be reset only in extraordinary situations, e.g., complex Office action suggesting submission of comparative data.

II. PETITIONS TO RESET A PERIOD FOR REPLY DUE TO A POSTMARK DATE LATER THAN THE MAIL DATE PRINTED ON AN OFFICE ACTION

The Office will grant a petition to restart the previously set period for reply to an Office action to run from the postmark date shown on the Office mailing envelope which contained the Office action when the following criteria are met:

- (A) the petition is filed within 2 weeks of the date of receipt of the Office action at the correspondence address;
- (B) the reply period was for payment of the issue fee, or the reply period set was 1 month or 30 days; and
- (C) the petition includes (1) evidence showing the date of receipt of the Office action at the correspondence address (e.g., copy of the Office action having the date of receipt of the Office action at the correspondence address stamped thereon, etc.), (2) a copy of the envelope which contained the Office action showing the postmark date, and (3) a statement setting forth the date of receipt of the Office action at the correspondence address and stating that the Office action was received in the postmarked envelope.

The provisions of 37 CFR 1.8 and 1.10 apply to the filing of the above-noted petitions with regard to the requirement that the petition be filed within 2 weeks of the date of receipt of the Office action.

The showings outlined above may not be sufficient if there are circumstances that point to a conclusion that the Office action may have been delayed after receipt rather than a conclusion that the Office action was delayed in the mail or in the Office.

1 [R-3] Abandonment of Patent Application

37 CFR 7.135. Abandonment for failure to reply within time period.

- (a) If an applicant of a patent application fails to reply within the time period provided under § 1.134 and § 1.136, the application will become abandoned unless an Office action indicates otherwise.
- (b) Prosecution of an application to save it from abandonment pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section must include such complete and proper reply as the condition of the application may require. The admission of, or refusal to admit, any amendment after final rejection or any amendment not responsive to the last action, or any related proceedings, will not operate to save the application from abandonment.
- (c) When reply by the applicant is a bona fide attempt to advance the application to final action, and is substantially a complete reply to the non-final Office action, but consideration of some matter or compliance with some requirement has been inadvertently omitted, applicant may be given a few time period for reply under § 1.134 to supply the omission.

Patent Appeals and Interferences as explained in MPEP \$ 1214.06.

(D) Proceedings are terminated after a decision by the court as explained in MPEP

711.03 Reconsideration of Holding of Abandonment; Revival

When advised of the abandonment of his or her application, applicant may either ask for reconsideration of such holding, if he or she disagrees with it on the basis that there is no abandonment in fact; or petition for revival under 37 CFR 1.137.

Holding Based on Insufficiency of Reply 711.03(a)

Applicant may deny that the reply was incomplete.

While the primary examiner has no authority to act upon an application in which no action by applicant was taken during the period for reply, he or she may reverse his or her holding as to whether or not an amendment received during such period was responsive and act on an application of such character which he or she has previously held abandoned. This is not a revival of an abandoned application but merely a holding that the application was never abandoned. See also MPEP § 714.03.

Holding Based on Failure To Reply Within Period

When an amendment reaches the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office after the expiration of the period for reply and there is no dispute as to the dates involved, no question of reconsideration of a holding of abandonment can be presented.

However, the examiner and the applicant may disagree as to the date on which the period for reply commenced to run or ends. In this situation, as in the situation involving sufficiency of reply, the applicant may take issue with the examiner and point out to him or her that his or her holding was erroneous.

711.03(c) [R-3] Petitions Relating to Abandonment

37 CFR 1.135. Abandonment for failure to reply within time period.

- (a) If an applicant of a patent application fails to reply within the time period provided under § 1.134 and § 1.136, the application will become abandoned unless an Office action indicates otherwise.
- (b) Prosecution of an application to save it from abandonment pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section must include such complete and proper reply as the condition of the application may require. The admission of, or refusal to admit, any amendment after final rejection or any amendment not responsive to the last action, or any related proceedings, will not operate to save the application from abandonment.
- (c) When reply by the applicant is a bona fide attempt to advance the application to final action, and is substantially a complete reply to the non-final Office action, but consideration of some matter or compliance with some requirement has been inadvertently omitted, applicant may be given a new time period for reply under § 1.134 to supply the omission.

37 CFR 1.137. Revival of abandoned application, terminated reexamination proceeding, or lapsed patent.

- (a) Unavoidable. If the delay in reply by applicant or patent owner was unavoidable, a petition may be filed pursuant to this paragraph to revive an abandoned application, a reexamination proceeding terminated under § § 1.550(d) or 1.957(b) or (c), or a lapsed patent. A grantable petition pursuant to this paragraph must be accompanied by:

 (1) The reply required to the outstanding Office action or notice, unless previously filed;

 - (2) The petition fee as set forth in § 1.17(1);
- (3) A showing to the satisfaction of the Director that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to this paragraph was unavoidable; and
- (4) Any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in § 1.20(d)) required pursuant to paragraph (d) of this section.
- (b) Unintentional. If the delay in reply by applicant or patent owner was unintentional, a petition may be filed pursuant to this paragraph to revive an abandoned application, a reexamination proceeding terminated under § § 1.550(d) or 1.957(b) or (c), or a lapsed patent. A grantable petition pursuant to this paragraph must be accompanied by:

 (1) The reply required to the outstanding Office action or notice, unless previously filed;

 - (2) The petition fee as set forth in § 1.17(m);

- (3) A statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to this paragraph was unintentional. The Director may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and
- (4) Any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in § 1.20(d)) required pursuant to paragraph (d) of this section.
- (c) Reply. In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In a nonprovisional utility or plant application filed on or after June 8, 1995, and abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may also be met by the filing of a request for continued examination in compliance with § 1.114. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must include payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance. In an application, abandoned for failure to pay the publication fee, the required reply must include payment of the publication fee.

- d) Terminal disclaimer.
 (1) Any petition to revive pursuant to this section in a design application must be accompanied by a terminal disclaimer and fee as set forth in § 1.321 dedicating to the public a terminal part of the term of any patent granted thereon equivalent to the period of abandonment of the application. Any petition to revive pursuant to this section in either a utility or plant application filed before June 8, 1995, must be accompanied by a terminal disclaimer and fee as set forth in § 1.321 dedicating to the public a terminal part of the term of any patent granted thereon equivalent to the lesser of:
 - (i) The period of abandonment of the application; or
- (ii) The period extending beyond twenty years from the date on which the application for the patent was filed in the United States or, if the application contains a specific reference to an earlier filed application(s) under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121, or 365(c), from the date on which the earliest such application was filed.
- (2) Any terminal disclaimer pursuant to paragraph (d)(1) of this section must also apply to any patent granted on a continuing utility or plant application filed before June 8, 1995, or a continuing design application, that contains a specific reference under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121, or 365(c) to the application for which revival is sought.

**>

- (3) The provisions of paragraph (d)(1) of this section do not apply to applications for which revival is sought solely for purposes of copendency with a utility or plant application filed on or after June 8, 1995, to lapsed patents, to reissue applications, or to reexamination proceedings.
- (e) Request for reconsideration. Any request for reconsideration or review of a decision refusing to revive an abandoned application, a terminated reexamination proceeding, or lapsed patent upon petition filed pursuant to this section, to be considered timely, must be filed within two months of the decision refusing to revive or within such time as set in the decision. Unless a decision indicates otherwise, this time period may be extended under:
 (1) The provisions of § 1.136 for an abandoned application or lapsed patent;
- (2) The provisions of § 1.550(c) for a terminated ex parte reexamination proceeding filed under § 1.510; or
- (3) The provisions of § 1.956 for a terminated inter partes reexamination proceeding filed under § 1.913.
- (f) Abandonment for failure to notify the Office of a foreign filing: A nonprovisional application abandoned pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 122(b)(2)(B)(iii) for failure to timely notify the Office of the filing of an application in a foreign country or under a multinational treaty that requires publication of applications eighteen months after filing, may be revived only pursuant to paragraph (b) of this section. The reply requirement of paragraph (c) of this section is met by the notification of such filing in a foreign country or under a multinational treaty, but the filing of a petition under this section will not operate to stay any period for reply that may be running against the application.
- (g) Provisional applications: A provisional application, abandoned for failure to timely respond to an Office requirement, may be revived pursuant to this section. Subject to the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 119(e)(3) and § 1.7(b), a provisional application will not be regarded as pending after twelve months from its filing date under any circumstances.

37 CFR 1.181. Petition to the Director.

- (a) Petition may be taken to the Director:
- (1) From any action or requirement of any examiner in the ex parte prosecution of an application, or in ex parte or inter partes prosecution of a reexamination proceeding which is not subject to appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences or to the court;
- (2) In cases in which a statute or the rules specify that the matter is to be determined directly by or reviewed by the Director; and

**>

(3) To invoke the supervisory authority of the Director in appropriate circumstances. For petitions involving action of the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, see § 41.3 of this title.<

(f) The mere filing of a petition will not stay any period for reply that may be running against the application, nor act as a stay of other proceedings. Any petition under this part not filed within two months of the mailing date of the action or notice from which relief is requested may be dismissed as untimely, except as otherwise provided. This two-month period is not extendable.

I. PETITION TO WITHDRAW HOLDING OF ABANDONMENT

A petition to revive an abandoned application (discussed below) should not be confused with a petition from an examiner's holding of abandonment. Where an applicant contends that the application is not in fact abandoned (e.g., there is disagreement as to the sufficiency of the reply, or as to controlling dates), a petition under 37 CFR 1.181(a) requesting withdrawal of the holding of abandonment is the appropriate course of action, and such petition does not require a fee. Where there is no dispute as to whether an application is abandoned (e.g., the applicant's contentions merely involve the cause of abandonment), a petition under 37 CFR 1.137 (accompanied by the appropriate petition fee) is necessary to revive the abandoned application.

Two additional procedures are available for reviving an application that has become abandoned due to a failure to reply to an Office Action: (1) a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(a) based on unavoidable delay; and (2) a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) based on unintentional delay.

A. Petition To Withdraw Holding of Abandonment Based on Failure To Receive Office Action

In *Delgar v. Schulyer*, 172 USPQ 513 (D.D.C. 1971), the court decided that the Office should mail a new Notice of Allowance in view of the evidence presented in support of the contention that the applicant's representative did not receive the original Notice of Allowance. Under the reasoning of *Delgar*, an allegation that an Office action was never received may be considered in a petition to withdraw the holding of abandonment. If adequately supported, the Office may grant the petition to withdraw the holding of abandonment and remail the Office action. That is, the reasoning of *Delgar* is applicable regardless of whether an application is held abandoned for failure to timely pay the issue fee (35 U.S.C. 151) or for failure to prosecute (35 U.S.C. 133).

To minimize costs and burdens to practitioners and the Office, the Office has modified the showing required to establish nonreceipt of an Office action. The showing required to establish nonreceipt of an Office communication must include a statement from the practitioner stating that the Office communication was not received by the practitioner and attesting to the fact that a search of the file jacket and docket records indicates that the Office communication was not received. A copy of the docket record where the nonreceived Office communication would have been entered had it been received and docketed must be attached to and referenced in practitioner's statement. For example, if a three month period for reply was set in the nonreceived Office action, a copy of the docket report showing all replies docketed for a date three months from the mail date of the nonreceived Office action must be submitted as documentary proof of nonreceipt of the Office action.

The showing outlined above may not be sufficient if there are circumstances that point to a conclusion that the Office action may have been lost after receipt rather than a conclusion that the Office action was lost in the mail (e.g., if the practitioner has a history of not receiving Office actions).

Evidence of nonreceipt of an Office communication or action (e.g., Notice of Abandonment or an advisory action) other than that action to which reply was required

to avoid abandonment would not warrant withdrawal of the holding of abandonment. Abandonment takes place by operation of law for failure to reply to an Office action or timely pay the issue fee, not by operation of the mailing of a Notice of Abandonment. See *Lorenz v. Finkl*, 333 F.2d 885, 889-90, 142 USPQ 26, 29-30 (CCPA 1964); *Krahn v. Commissioner*, 15 USPQ2d 1823, 1824 (E.D. Va 1990); *In re Application of Fischer*, 6 USPQ2d 1573, 1574 (Comm'r Pat. 1988).

B. Petition To Withdraw Holding of Abandonment Based on Evidence That a Reply Was Timely Mailed or Filed

37 CFR 1.10(c) through 1.10(e) > and 1.10(g) < set forth procedures for petitioning the Director of the USPTO to accord a filing date to correspondence as of the date of deposit of the correspondence as "Express Mail." A petition to withdraw the holding of abandonment relying upon a timely reply placed in "Express Mail" must include an appropriate petition under 37 CFR 1.10(c), (d), * (e)>, or (g)< (see MPEP § 513). When a paper is shown to have been mailed to the Office using the "Express Mail" procedures, the paper must be entered in PALM with the "Express Mail" date. Similarly, applicants may establish that a reply was filed with a postcard receipt that properly identifies the reply and provides *prima facie* evidence that the reply was timely filed. See MPEP § 503. For example, if the application has been held abandoned for failure to file a reply to a first Office action, and applicant has a postcard receipt showing that an amendment was timely filed in response to the Office action, then the holding of abandonment should be withdrawn upon the filing of a petition to withdraw the holding of abandonment. When the reply is shown to have been timely filed based on a postcard receipt, the reply must be entered into PALM using the date of receipt of the reply as shown on the post card receipt.

Where a certificate of mailing under 37 CFR 1.8, but not a postcard receipt, is relied upon in a petition to withdraw the holding of abandonment, see 37 CFR 1.8(b) and MPEP § 512. As stated in 37 CFR 1.8(b)(3) the statement that attests to the previous timely mailing or transmission of the correspondence must be on a personal knowledge basis, or to the satisfaction of the Director of the USPTO. If the statement attesting to the previous timely mailing is not made by the person who signed the Certificate of Mailing (i.e., there is no personal knowledge basis), then the statement attesting to the previous timely mailing should include evidence that supports the conclusion that the correspondence was actually mailed (e.g., copies of a mailing log establishing that correspondence was mailed for that application). When the correspondence is shown to have been timely filed based on a certificate of mailing, the correspondence is entered into PALM with the actual date of receipt (i.e., the date that the duplicate copy of the papers was filed with the statement under 37 CFR 1.8).

> 37 CFR 1.8(b) also permits applicant to notify the Office of a previous mailing or transmission of correspondence and submit a statement under 37 CFR 1.8(b)(3) accompanied by a duplicate copy of the correspondence when a reasonable amount of time (e.g., more than one month) has elapsed from the time of mailing or transmitting of the correspondence. Applicant does not have to wait until the application becomes abandoned before notifying the Office of the previous mailing or transmission of the correspondence. Applicant should check the private Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system for the status of the correspondence before notifying the Office. See MPEP § 512.<

C. Treatment of Untimely Petition To Withdraw Holding of Abandonment

37 CFR 1.181(f) provides that, *inter alia*, except as otherwise provided, any petition not filed within 2 months from the action complained of may be dismissed as untimely. Therefore, any petition (under 37 CFR 1.181) to withdraw the holding of abandonment not filed within 2 months of the mail date of a notice of abandonment (the action

complained of) may be dismissed as untimely. 37 CFR 1.181(f). Rather than dismiss an untimely petition to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181(f), the Office may require a terminal disclaimer as a condition of granting an untimely petition to withdraw the holding of abandonment.

1. Design Applications, Utility Applications Filed Before June 8, 1995, and Plant Applications Filed Before June 8, 1995

(a) Applicant Receives Notice of Abandonment

In any design application, any utility application filed before June 8, 1995, or any plant application filed before June 8, 1995, if applicant receives a notice of abandonment, any petition to withdraw the holding of abandonment that is not filed within two months of the mail date of the notice of abandonment will **not** (absent extraordinary circumstances) be treated on its merits **unless** accompanied by a terminal disclaimer under 37 CFR 1.321(a), and the required fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d). The period to be disclaimed is the terminal part of the term of any patent granted on the application, or of any patent granted on any utility or plant application that claims the benefit of the filing date of the application under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121, or 365(c), equivalent to the period between:

- (A) the date that is two months after the mail date of the notice of abandonment; and
- (B) the filing date of a grantable petition to withdraw the holding of abandonment. Form PTO/SB/62 is the appropriate terminal disclaimer to be used.

(b) Applicant Does Not Receive Notice of Abandonment

In any design application, any utility application filed before June 8, 1995, or any plant application filed before June 8, 1995, if applicant never receives the notice of abandonment, any petition to withdraw the holding of abandonment that is not filed within twelve months from the date of applicant's filing (or date of submission, if the correspondence was never received by the Office) of correspondence with the Office for which further action by the Office can reasonably be expected, will **not** (absent extraordinary circumstances) be treated on its merit **unless** accompanied by a terminal disclaimer under 37 CFR 1.321(a), and the required fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d). The period to be disclaimed is the terminal part of the term of any patent granted thereon, or of any patent granted on any utility or plant application that claims the benefit of the filing date of the application under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121, or 365(c), equivalent to the period between:

- (A) the date that is twelve months from the date of applicant's filing or submission of correspondence with the Office, for which further action by the Office can reasonably be expected; and
- (B) the filing date of a grantable petition to withdraw the holding of abandonment. Form PTO/SB/62 is the appropriate terminal disclaimer to be used.
- 2. Utility and Plant Applications Filed on or After June 8, 1995 but Before May 29, 2000

In utility and plant applications filed on or after June 8, 1995, but before May 29, 2000, a terminal disclaimer should **not** be required as a condition of granting an untimely petition to withdraw the holding of abandonment. However, the Office of Patent Legal Administration (OPLA) must be consulted in such situations if the holding of abandonment involves a period during: (A) appellate review by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences; (B) an interference proceeding under 35 U.S.C. 135(a), including any suspension due to an interference proceeding; or (C) which the application was in a sealed condition or prosecution was suspended due to a secrecy order under 35 U.S.C. 181. This is because it is necessary to effect (if appropriate) a reduction of patent

term extension under the "due diligence" provisions of 37 CFR 1.701(d)(2).

3. Utility and Plant Applications Filed on or After May 29, 2000

In utility and plant applications filed on or after May 29, 2000, a terminal disclaimer should **not** be required as a condition of granting an untimely petition to withdraw the holding of abandonment. This is because any patent term adjustment is automatically reduced under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.704(c)(4) in applications subject to the patent term adjustment provisions of the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) if a petition to withdraw a holding of abandonment is not filed within two months from the mailing date of the notice of abandonment, and if applicant does not receive the notice of abandonment, any patent term adjustment is reduced under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.704(a) by a period equal to the period of time during which the applicant "failed to engage in reasonable efforts to conclude prosecution" (processing or examination) of the application.

Where the record indicates that the applicant intentionally delayed the filing of a petition to withdraw the holding of abandonment, the Office may simply dismiss the petition as untimely (37 CFR 1.181(f)) solely on the basis of such intentional delay in taking action in the application without further addressing the merits of the petition. Obviously, intentional delay in seeking the revival of an abandoned application precludes relief under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b) (discussed below).

II. PETITIONS TO REVIVE AN ABANDONED APPLICATION, OR ACCEPT LATE PAYMENT OF ISSUE FEE

37 CFR 1.137 provides for the revival of abandoned applications and lapsed patents for the failure:

- (A) to timely reply to an Office requirement in a provisional application;
- (B) to timely prosecute in a nonprovisional application;
- (C) to timely pay the issue fee for a design application;
- (D) to timely pay the issue fee for a utility or plant application; and
- (E) to timely pay any outstanding balance of the issue fee (lapsed patents). A petition under 37 CFR 1.137(a) requires:
- (A) the required reply, unless previously filed;
- (B) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(I);
- (C) a showing to the satisfaction of the Director of the USPTO that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(a) was unavoidable; and
- (D) any terminal disclaimer required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(d). A petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) requires:
- (A) the required reply, unless previously filed;
- (B) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);
- (C) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional; and
- (D) any terminal disclaimer required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(d).

The Director of the USPTO may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional.

A. Reply Requirement

Unlike a petition to withdraw the holding of abandonment, a petition to revive under 37 CFR 1.137 must be accompanied by, *inter alia*, the required reply. See *Ex parte Richardson*, 1906 Dec. Comm'r Pat. 83 (1905) ("This Office has no authority to revive a case upon which no action has been taken within [the period for reply], but merely has authority to determine after an action is taken whether the delay in presenting it was unavoidable."). Generally, the required reply is the reply sufficient to have avoided abandonment, had such reply been timely filed. A petition for an extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136 and a fee for such an extension of time are not required to be included with the reply.

37 CFR 1.137(c) applies to the reply requirement for petitions under 37 CFR 1.137(a) and (b). In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In a nonprovisional utility or plant application filed on or after June 8, 1995, and abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may also be met by the filing of a request for continued examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must include payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance. In an application, abandoned for failure to pay the publication fee, the required reply must include payment of the publication fee. See below for more details on the reply requirement in specific situations of abandonment.

1. Abandonment for Failure To Pay the Issue Fee or Publication Fee

While the revival of applications abandoned for failure to timely prosecute and for failure to timely pay the issue fee are incorporated together in 37 CFR 1.137, the statutory provisions for the revival of an application abandoned for failure to timely prosecute and for failure to timely submit the issue fee are mutually exclusive. See *Brenner v. Ebbert*, 398 F.2d 762, 157 USPQ 609 (D.C. Cir. 1968). 35 U.S.C. 151 authorizes the acceptance of a delayed payment of the issue fee, if the issue fee "is submitted ... and the delay in payment is shown to have been unavoidable." 35 U.S.C. 41(a)(7) likewise authorizes the acceptance of an "unintentionally delayed payment of the fee for issuing each patent." Thus, 35 U.S.C. 41(a)(7) and 151 each require payment of the issue fee as a condition of reviving an application abandoned or patent lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee. Therefore, the filing of a continuing application without payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof is not an acceptable reply in an application abandoned or patent lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof.

The Notice of Allowance requires the timely payment of the issue fee in effect on the date of its mailing to avoid abandonment of the application. In instances in which there is an increase in the issue fee by the time of payment of the issue fee required in the Notice of Allowance, the Office will mail a notice requiring payment of the balance of the issue fee then in effect. See *In re Mills*, 12 USPQ2d 1847, 1848 (Comm'r Pat. 1989). The phrase "for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof" applies to those instances in which the applicant fails to pay either the issue fee required in the Notice of Allowance or the balance of the issue fee required in a subsequent notice. In such instances, the reply must be the issue fee then in effect, if no portion of the issue fee was previously submitted, or any outstanding balance of the issue fee then in effect, if a portion of the issue fee was previously submitted.

In an application abandoned for failure to pay the publication fee, the required reply must include payment of the publication fee. Even if an application abandoned for failure to pay the publication fee is being revived solely for purposes of continuity with a continuing application, the petition to revive under 37 CFR 1.137 must include payment of the publication fee.

- 2. Abandonment for Failure To Reply in a Nonprovisional Application
- (a) Abandonment for Failure To Reply to a Non-Final Action

The required reply to a non-final action in a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute may be either:

- (A) an argument or an amendment under 37 CFR 1.111;
- (B) the filing of a continuing application under 37 CFR 1.53(b) (or a continued prosecution application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) if the application is a design application).

The grant of a petition under 37 CFR 1.137 is not a determination that any reply under 37 CFR 1.111 is complete. Where the proposed reply is to a non-final Office action, the petition may be granted if the reply appears to be *bona fide*. After revival of the application, the patent examiner may, upon more detailed review, determine that the reply is lacking in some respect. In this limited situation, the patent examiner should send out a letter giving a 1-month shortened statutory period under 37 CFR 1.135(c) for correction of the error or omission. Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are permitted. If applicant does not correct the omission within the time period set in the letter (including any extension), the application is again abandoned.

(b) Abandonment for Failure To Reply to a Final Action

A reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final action must include a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 or cancellation of, or appeal from the rejection of, each claim so rejected. Accordingly, in a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to reply to a final action, the reply required for consideration of a petition to revive must be:

- (A) a Notice of Appeal and appeal fee;
- (B) an amendment under 37 CFR 1.116 that cancels all the rejected claims or otherwise *prima facie* places the application in condition for allowance;
- (C) the filing of an RCE (accompanied by a submission that meets the reply requirements of 37 CFR 1.111 and the requisite fee) under 37 CFR 1.114 for utility or plant applications filed on or after June 8, 1995 (see paragraph (d) below); or
- (D) the filing of a continuing application under 37 CFR 1.53(b) (or a CPA under 37 CFR 1.53(d) if the application is a design application).

When a notice of appeal is the reply filed pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(a)(1) or 1.137(b)(1), the time period under 37 CFR *> 41.37< for filing the appeal brief will be set by the Director of the USPTO in the decision granting the petition. An application subject to a final action in which a proposed amendment under 37 CFR 1.116 is filed as the required reply will normally be routed by the Office of Petitions to the Technology Center (TC) to determine whether a proposed amendment places the application in condition for allowance prior to granting any petition to revive such application. The examiner is instructed that if the reply places the application in condition for allowance, the examiner should write in the margin of the reply 'OK to enter upon revival." For Image File Wrapper (IFW) processing, see IFW Manual. If the petition is otherwise grantable and the examiner indicates that the reply places the application in condition for allowance, the petition will be granted. If, on the other hand, the reply would not place the application in condition for allowance, the examiner is instructed to complete form PTOL-303 and return the form to the Office of Petitions with the application. *>Form< PTOL-303 should not be mailed to the applicant by the examiner. In this situation, the Office of Petitions will not grant the petition. A copy of the form

PTOL-303 is marked with the notation "Courtesy Copy" by the Office of Petitions. The courtesy copy is sent as an attachment with the decision on the petition. The advisory form PTOL-303 merely serves as an advisory notice to the Office of Petitions regarding the decision of the examiner on the amendment after final rejection. For Image File Wrapper (IFW) processing, see IFW Manual.

(c) Abandonment for Failure To File an Appeal Brief

In those situations where abandonment occurred because of the failure to file an appeal brief, the reply required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(a)(1) or 1.137(b)(1) must be either:

- (A) an appeal brief in compliance with 37 CFR *>41.37(c)< and appeal brief fee;
- (B) the filing of an RCE accompanied by a submission and the requisite fee in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114 for utility or plant applications filed on or after June 8, 1995 (see paragraph (d) below); or
- (C) the filing of a continuing application under 37 CFR 1.53(b) (or a CPA under 37 CFR 1.53(d) if the application is a design application).

(d) Filing an RCE as the Required Reply

For utility or plant applications abandoned for failure to reply to a final Office action or for failure to file an appeal brief, the required reply may be the filing of an RCE accompanied by a submission and the requisite fee. When an RCE is the reply filed pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(a)(1) or 1.137(b)(1) to revive such an application, the submission accompanying the RCE must be a reply responsive within the meaning of 37 CFR 1.111 to the last Office action. Consideration of whether the submission is responsive within the meaning of 37 CFR 1.111 to the last Office action is done without factoring in the "final" status of such action. The submission may be a previously filed amendment after final or a statement that incorporates by reference the arguments in a previously filed appeal or reply brief. See MPEP § 706.07(h), paragraph II. The petition may be granted if the submission appears to be a bona fide attempt to provide a complete reply to the last Office action. After revival of the application, the examiner may, upon a more detailed review, determine that the reply is lacking in some respect. In this limited situation, the examiner should send out a letter giving a 1-month shortened statutory period under 37 CFR 1.135(c) for correction of the error or omission. Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are permitted. If the applicant does not correct the omission within the time period set in the letter (including any extension), the application is again abandoned.

(e) A Continuing Application or RCE May Be Required by the Office

The Office may require the filing of a continuing application or an RCE (if the prosecution prior to abandonment was closed) (or request for further examination pursuant to 37 CFR 1.129(a)) to meet the reply requirement of 37 CFR 1.137(a)(1) (or 37 CFR 1.137(b)(1)) where, under the circumstances of the application, treating a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 or 1.113 would place an inordinate burden on the Office. Exemplary circumstances of when treating a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 or 1.113 may place an inordinate burden on the Office are where:

- (A) an application has been abandoned for an inordinate period of time;
- (B) an application file contains multiple or conflicting replies to the last Office action; or
- (C) the reply or replies submitted under 37 CFR 1.137(a)(1) (or 37 CFR 1.137(b)(1)) are questionable as to compliance with 37 CFR 1.111 or 1.113.

3. Abandonment for Failure To Notify the Office of a Foreign Filing After the Submission of a Non-Publication Request

If an applicant makes a nonpublication request upon filing with the appropriate certifications, the utility or plant application filed on or after November 29, 2000 will not be published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b)(1). See 35 U.S.C. 122(b)(2)(B)(i). If an applicant makes a nonpublication request and then rescinds, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 122(b)(2)(B)(ii), the nonpublication request before or on the date a counterpart application is filed in a foreign country, or under a multilateral international agreement, that requires eighteenmonth publication, the nonpublication request will be treated as annulled and the application will be treated as if the nonpublication request were never made. See MPEP § 1123 and § 1124. An applicant who has made a nonpublication request, but who subsequently files an application directed to the invention disclosed in the U.S. application in a foreign country, or under a multilateral international agreement, that requires eighteenmonth publication before the nonpublication request is rescinded, must, in addition to the rescission, notify the Office of such filing within forty-five days after the date of such filing. The requirement in 35 U.S.C. 122(b)(2)(B)(iii) for notice of the foreign filing is in addition to any rescission of the nonpublication request under 35 U.S.C. 122(b)(2)(B)(ii). If an applicant files a counterpart application in a foreign country after having filed an application in the USPTO with a nonpublication request, filing a rescission of the nonpublication request under 35 U.S.C. 122(b)(2)(B)(ii) without also providing a notice of the foreign filing in a timely manner will result in the abandonment of the U.S. application under 35 U.S.C. 122(b)(2)(B)(iii). 35 U.S.C. 122(b)(2)(B)(iii), however, also provides that an application abandoned as a result of the failure to timely provide such a notice to the Office is subject to revival if the "delay in submitting the notice was unintentional." 35 U.S.C. 122(b)(2)(B)(iii) provides for revival only on the basis of unintentional delay. and not on the basis of unavoidable delay. Therefore, a nonprovisional application abandoned pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 122(b)(2)(B)(iii) for failure to timely notify the Office of the filing of an application in a foreign country or under a multinational treaty that requires eighteen-month publication may be revived only on the basis of unintentional delay pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). The reply requirement of 37 CFR 1.137(c) is met by the notification of such filing in a foreign country or under a multinational treaty, but the filing of a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) will not operate to stay any period for reply that may be running against the application. Since the Office cannot ascertain whether an application is abandoned under 35 U.S.C. 122(b)(2)(B)(iii), the Office may continue to process and examine the application until the Office is notified of applicant's failure to meet the forty-five days notice requirement of 35 U.S.C. 122(b)(2)(B)(iii). Therefore, the filing of a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) to revive such an application will not operate to stay any period for reply that may be running against the application. Applicants may use form PTO/SB/64a to file a petition for revival under 37 CFR 1.137(b).

B. Petition Fee Requirement

35 U.S.C. 41(a) (7) provides that a petition for the revival of an unintentionally abandoned application or for the unintentionally delayed payment of the issue fee must be accompanied by the petition fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m), unless the petition is filed under 35 U.S.C. 133 or 151 (on the basis of unavoidable delay), in which case the fee is set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(l). Thus, unless the circumstances warrant the withdrawal of the holding of abandonment (i.e., it is determined that the application is not properly held abandoned), the payment of a petition fee to obtain the revival of an abandoned application is a statutory prerequisite to revival of the abandoned application, and cannot be waived.

In addition, the phrase "[o]n filing" in 35 U.S.C. 41(a)(7) means that the petition fee is required for the filing (and not merely the grant) of a petition under 37 CFR 1.137. See

H.R. Rep. No. 542, 97th Cong., 2d Sess. 6 (1982), reprinted in 1982 U.S.C.C.A.N. 770 ("[t]he fees set forth in this section are due on filing the petition"). Therefore, the Office: (A) will not refund the petition fee required by 37 CFR 1.17(I) or 1.17(m), regardless of whether the petition under 37 CFR 1.137 is dismissed or denied; and (B) will not reach the merits of any petition under 37 CFR 1.137 lacking the requisite petition fee.

The phrase "unless the petition is filed under [35 U.S.C.] 133 or 151" signifies that petitions to revive filed on the basis of "unavoidable" delay (under 35 U.S.C. 133 or 151) are a subset of petitions to revive filed on the basis of unintentional delay. That is, "unavoidable" delay and "unintentional" delay are not alternatives; "unavoidable" delay is the epitome of "unintentional" delay. Any petition to revive an abandoned application or lapsed patent must meet the minimal "unintentional" delay threshold, and an applicant need only pay the fee specified in 37 CFR 1.17(I) (rather than the fee specified in 37 CFR 1.17(m)) if the petition is also accompanied by an adequate showing that the entire delay in filing the required reply, from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(a), was unavoidable.

C. Unintentional and Unavoidable Delay

Petitions under 37 CFR 1.137(b) are less burdensome (statement(s) rather than a showing accompanied by documentary evidence) to file and are evaluated under the less stringent "unintentional delay" standard. Applicants determining whether to file a petition to revive an application under 37 CFR 1.137(b) or 1.137(a) should take the following into account:

While the Office reserves the authority to require further information concerning the cause of abandonment and delay in filing a petition to revive, the Office relies upon the applicant's duty of candor and good faith and accepts the statement that "the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional" without requiring further information in the vast majority of petitions under 37 CFR 1.137(b). This is because the applicant is obligated under 37 CFR 10.18 to inquire into the underlying facts and circumstances when a practitioner provides this statement to the Office. In addition, providing an inappropriate statement in a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) to revive an abandoned application may have an adverse effect when attempting to enforce any patent resulting from the application. See Lumenyte Int 'l Corp. v. Cable Lite Corp., Nos. 96-1011, 96-1077, 1996 U.S. App. LEXIS 16400, 1996 WL 383927 (Fed. Cir. July 9, 1996)(unpublished)(patents held unenforceable due to a finding of inequitable conduct in submitting an inappropriate statement that the abandonment was unintentional). Even if the Office requires further information in a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), such petition is still significantly less burdensome to prepare and prosecute than a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(a). The Office is almost always satisfied as to whether "the entire delay... was unintentional?' on the basis of statement(s) by the applicant or representative explaining the cause of the delay (accompanied at most by copies of correspondence relevant to the period of delay). A showing of unavoidable delay will (in addition to the above) require: (1) evidence concerning the procedures in place that should have avoided the error resulting in the delay; (2) evidence concerning the training and experience of the persons responsible for the error; and (3) copies of any applicable docketing records to show that the error was in fact the cause of the delay. See MPEP § 711.03(c)(III)(C)(2). In addition, a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(a) must establish that the delay was unavoidable, and not just that it was unintentional. Thus, many petitions originally filed under 37 CFR 1.137(a) end up being granted under 37 CFR 1.137(b) when the applicant realizes that sufficient evidence concerning the delay is too difficult to obtain or the cause of delay simply does not amount to "unavoidable delay" within the meaning of 37 CFR 1.137(a).

Since the requirements of 37 CFR 1.137(a) are more exacting than the corresponding requirements of 37 CFR 1.137(b), a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(a) is significantly less likely to be grantable as filed than is a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b). The Office usually must render a number of interlocutory decisions dismissing a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(a) and requesting additional evidence until either the applicant provides a satisfactory showing of unavoidable delay (in which case the petition can be granted) or the Office concludes that the applicant cannot provide a satisfactory showing of unavoidable delay (in which case the petition must be denied). Thus, the period between when an applicant first files a petition to revive and the Office renders a decision granting (or denying) that petition will, more often than not, be much longer if the petition is under 37 CFR 1.137(a) than it would have been if the petition were under 37 CFR 1.137(b).

1. Unintentional Delay

The legislative history of Public Law 97-247, § 3, 96 Stat. 317 (1982), reveals that the purpose of 35 U.S.C. 41(a)(7) is to permit the Office to have more discretion than in 35 U.S.C. 133 or 151 to revive abandoned applications in appropriate circumstances, but places a limit on this discretion stating that "[u]nder this section a petition accompanied by [the requisite fee] would not be granted where the abandonment or the failure to pay the fee for issuing the patent was intentional as opposed to being unintentional or unavoidable." H.R. Rep. No. 542, 97th Cong., 2d Sess. 6-7 (1982), reprinted in 1982 U.S.C.C.A.N. 770-71. A delay resulting from a deliberately chosen course of action on the part of the applicant is not an "unintentional" delay within the meaning of 37 CFR 1.137(b).

Where the applicant deliberately permits an application to become abandoned (e.g., due to a conclusion that the claims are unpatentable, that a rejection in an Office action cannot be overcome, or that the invention lacks sufficient commercial value to justify continued prosecution), the abandonment of such application is considered to be a deliberately chosen course of action, and the resulting delay cannot be considered as "unintentional" within the meaning of 37 CFR 1.137(b). See *In re Application of G*, 11 USPQ2d 1378, 1380 (Comm'r Pat. 1989). An intentional course of action is not rendered unintentional when, upon reconsideration, the applicant changes his or her mind as to the course of action that should have been taken. See *In re Maldague*, 10 USPQ2d 1477, 1478 (Comm'r Pat. 1988).

A delay resulting from a deliberately chosen course of action on the part of the applicant does not become an "unintentional" delay within the meaning of 37 CFR 1.137(b) because:

- (A) the applicant does not consider the claims to be patentable over the references relied upon in an outstanding Office action;
- (B) the applicant does not consider the allowed or patentable claims to be of sufficient breadth or scope to justify the financial expense of obtaining a patent;
- (C) the applicant does not consider any patent to be of sufficient value to justify the financial expense of obtaining the patent;
- (D) the applicant does not consider any patent to be of sufficient value to maintain an interest in obtaining the patent; or
- (E) the applicant remains interested in eventually obtaining a patent, but simply seeks to defer patent fees and patent prosecution expenses.

Likewise, a change in circumstances that occurred subsequent to the abandonment of an application does not render "unintentional" the delay resulting from a previous deliberate decision to permit an application to be abandoned. These matters simply confuse the question of whether there was a deliberate decision not to continue the prosecution of an application with why there was a deliberate decision not to continue

the prosecution of an application.

In order to expedite treatment, applicants filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) to revive an abandoned application are advised to include the statement "the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional," even if applicant chooses to include a statement of the facts concerning the delay. Applicants may use the forms provided by the Office (PTO/SB/64, PTO/SB/64a, or PTO/SB/64PCT).

Under the Personnel Berkerton Act of 1997	U.S. Patent and Trademan 5, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information	Office, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF	F AN APPLICATION FOR PATENT NALLY UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b)	Docket Number (Optional)
First named inventor:		
Application No.:	Art Unit:	
Filed:	Examiner:	
Title:	•	
Attention: Office of Petitions Mail Stop Petition Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 FAX (571) 273-8300		
	or essistance is needed in completing this form (571) 272-3282.	, please contact Petitions
action by the United States Petent	ecame abandoned for failure to file a timety and Tredemark Office. The date of abandonm e office notice or action plus an extensions of t	ent is the day after the expiration
APPLICANT HE	REBY PETITIONS FOR REVIVAL OF THIS A	PPLICATION
(1) Petition fee (2) Reply and/ (3) Terminal di filed before		
	(37 CFR 1.17(m)). Applicant claims small enti	ty status. See 37 CFR 1.27.
Reply and/or fee A. The reply and/or fee to the form of	o the above-noted Office action in(ide	ntify type of reply):
has been filed a is enclosed her	previously on ewith.	
	blication fee (if applicable) of \$ reviously on with.	
	Pege 1 of 21	

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.137(b). The Information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to the good by the SEPIO to proceed an exploration conformation in comment by 35 U.S. 1.22 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to that 1.0 host is SEPIO to proceed an exploration in the second of the second of the second to the 1.0 host of the complete, including gettering, propering, and extending the completed application form to the USSPTO. Three will very depending upon the Individual cases. An arometers of the second of the system projects to be completed for the product of the system of the second of

if you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option

3. Terminal disctalmer with disctalmer fee Since this utility/plant application was filed on or after June 8, 1995, no terminal disclaimer is required. A terminal disclaimer (and disclaimer fee (37 CFR 1.20(d)) of \$ ______ for a small entity or \$ for other than a small entity) disclaiming the required period of time is enclosed herewith (see PTO/SB/63). 4. STATEMENT: The entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the required reply until the filing of a grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. (NOTE: The United States Patent and Trademark Office may require additional information if there is a question as to whether either the abandonment or the delay in filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional (MPEP 711.03(c), subsections (III)(C) and (D)).] WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038. Signature Typed or printed name Registration Number, if applicable Telephone Number Address Enclosures: Fee Payment Reply Terminal Disclaimer Form Additional sheets containing statements establishing unintentional delay

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION (37 CFR 1.8(a))

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being:

Deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as first class mell in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Transmitted by facsimile on the date shown below to the United States Patent and Trademark Office as (571) 273-8300.

Date

Typed or printed name of person signing certificate

PTO/SB/64s (07-05)

Approved for use through 97/51/2008, CMB 0651-0001

U.S. Patent and Tradement Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
to a collection of information under the design of the collection of information under the design of the collection of the collect

ABANDONED FOR FAILURE TO	AN APPLICATION FOR PATENT NOTIFY THE OFFICE OFA FOREIGN FILING (37 CFR 1.137(f))	Docket Number (Optional)
First named inventor:		
Application No.:	Art Unit:	
Filed:	Examiner:	
Title:		
Attention: Office of Petitions Mail Stop Petition Commissioner for Petents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 FAX (571) 273-8300		
NOTE: If information or a tnformation at (571	ssistance is needed in completing this form, p 1) 272-3282.	please contact Petitions
notify the Office of the filing of an applications el	ame abandoned pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 122(i lication in a foreign country or under a multin lighteen months after filling. The date of abar ay period set in 35 U.S.C. 122(b)(2)(B)(iii).	stional international treaty that
PURSUANT TO 37 CFR 1.137(f), AP	PLICANT HEREYBY PETITIONS FOR REVI UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b)	IVAL OF THIS APPLICATION
	7 CFR 1.17(m)). Applicant claims small entity	status. See 37 CFR 1.27.
. 2. Notice of Foreign or International Fi	Ting (35 U.S.C. 122(b)(2)(B)(iii) and 37 CFR	1.213(c))
or under a multinational in	the above-identified application, an application ternational treaty (e.g., filed under the Pat ications eighteen months after the filing. The application is	ent Cooperation Treaty), that
	(Plage 1 of 2)	

This obsection of information is required by \$1.0 FR 1.137. The information property of the observable is been by the proble which is to the sect by next to proceed an application. Confidentiable is generated by \$8.1.0.1.212 and \$1.0 FR 1.131 and \$1.0 FR 1.131 and \$1.4. This condition is estimated to be located to proceed an application from the Two FT 0.7 FT 1.131 and \$1.4. This condition is estimated to be located as proceeding upon the way depending upon the working the complete fits from motion suggested for modulog this budget, should be sent to the Chief information Offices. U.S. F and Trademark Office. U.S. Proceedings upon the sent to the Chief information Offices. U.S. F and Trademark Office. U.S. Proceedings upon the sent to the Chief information Offices. U.S. F and Trademark Office. U.S. Proceedings upon the sent to the Chief information Offices. U.S. F and Trademark Office. U.S. Proceedings upon the sent to the Chief information of the sent to the Chief information of the U.S. Proceedings upon the sent to the Chief information of the U.S. Proceedings upon the U.S. Proceedings upon

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

Approved for use through 07/20 PTOSSABAR.

U.S. Paters and Tagentan, Othice, U.S. DyARTHEINT OF COSA.

Under the Preparation Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a callection of information under a fairbying a wife Cold Contrib.

Under the Peperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to response	ond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.
STATEMENT: The entire delay in filing the required notice the required notice until the filing of a grantable petition until the filing of a grantable petition until the filing of a grantable petition until the filing and filing a petition filing and filing a petition filing a peti	inder 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unlintentional. (NOTE: The additional information there is a question as to whether
WARNING: Information on this form may become included on this form. Provide credit card inform	
Signature	Date
Typed or printed name	Registration Number, if applicable
Address	Telaphone Number
Address	·····
l	
Enclosures: Fee Payment	
Additional sheets containing statements	s establishing unintentional delay
Other:	
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TO	RANSMISSION [37 CFR 1.8(a)]
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being:	
11	
	vice on the date shown below with sufficient dressed to: Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for 313-1450.
Transmitted by facsimile on the date shown	below to the United States Patent and Trademark
Office at (571) 273-8300.	
i I	
Date	Signature
Турес	d or printed name of person signing certificate
	,
(Page 2	PTOREMARCT (12.01)
•	Approved for use through 03/31/2007, DMS 0851-0021 U.S. Petert and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Peperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respon	d to a collection of information unless 2 displays a valid OMB control number. Docket Number
PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN INTERNATIONAL APPL DESIGNATING THE U.S. ABANDONED UNINTENTIONAL	
First Named Inventor:	NO Assissants
International (PCT) Application No.:	U.S. Application No.: (If known)
Filed:	
Title:	
Attention: PCT Legal Staff	
Mali Stop PCT Commissioner for Patents	
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	
	to United States because the fees and decompate
The above-identified application became abandoned as to the required by 35 U.S.C. 371(c) were not filled prior to the exapplicable. The date of abandonment is the day after the didue. See 37 CFR 1.495(h).	opiration of the time set in 37 CFR 1.495(b) or (c) as
APPLICANT HEREBY PETITIONS FOR RI	EVIVAL OF THIS APPLICATION
NOTE: A grantable petition requires the following it	items:
(1) Petition fee (2) Proper reply	ļ
(3) Terminal disclaimer with disclaimer f	se which is required for all international applications
having an international filing date be: (4) Statement that the entire delay was	
(-)	
1. Patition fee	unintentional.
1. Patition fee	

[Page 1 of 2]
The collection of telecremion is required by ST CFR 1.137(b). The information is required to obtain or retain is bound by the public which is to fits (and by the USFTO to procees) an application. Confidentially is governed by 30 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to fitted 1.0 hour to complete, full-confidentially the completed deplication form to the USFTO. Then will very depending upon the individual case. Any consumers of the public completed deplication form to the USFTO. The vall very depending upon the individual case. Any consumers of the public completed deplication form to the USFTO. The vall very depending upon the individual case. Any consumers of the public completed of the USFTO. The vall very depending upon the individual case. Any consumers of the public completed of the USFTO. The Vall very depending upon the individual case. Any consumers of the USFTO. The Vall very depending upon the individual case. Any consumers of the USFTO. The Vall very depending upon the Individual case. Any consumers of the USFTO. The Vall very depending upon the Individual case. Any complete very dependent very depending upon the Individual case. Any complete very dependent very depending upon the Individual case. Any complete very d

A. The proper reply (the missing 35 U.S.C. 371(c) requirement(s)) in the form of (identity type of reply):

3. Terminal disclaimer with disclaimer fee Since this international application has an international filling date on or after June 6, 1995, no terminal dis is required. A terminal disclaimer (and disclaimer fee (37 CFR 1.20(d)) of \$ for a small entity or \$ for e small entity of disclaiming the required period of time is enclosed herewith (see PTO/SB/63). Statement. The entire detay in filing the required reply from the due date for the required reply until the filing of a grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038. Typed or Printed Name Registration Number, if applicable Telephone Number Endosures: Response Fee Payment Terminal Disclaime Other (please identify):

Privacy Act Statement

The Privacy Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-979) requires that you be given certain information in connection with your submission of the attached form related to a patent application or patent. Accordingly, pursuant to the requirements of the Act, please be advised that; (1) the general sufficing for the collection of this information is 35 U.S. C. 2(0)(2); (2) minishing of the information solicitied is voluntary; and (3) the principal purpose for which the information is used by the U.S. Patent and Trademark. Office is to process and/or examine your submission related to a patent application or patent, if you do not furnish the requested information, the U.S. Patent and Trademark. Office may not be able to process and/or examine your submission, which may result in termination of proceedings or abandonment of the application or expiration of the patent.

The information provided by you in this form will be subject to the following routine uses:

- The information on this form will be treated confidentially to the extent allowed under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 562) and the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. 552a). Records from this system of records may be disclosed to the Department of Justice to determine whether disclosure of these records is required by the Freedom of Information Act. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, in the course of presenting evidence to a court, magistrate, or administrative tribunal, including disclosures to opposing course in the course of settlement negotiations. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Member of Congress submitting a request involving an individual, to whom the record pertains, when the individual has requested assistance from the Member with respect to the subject matter of the record.

- individual has requested assistance from the Member with respect to the subject matter of the record.

 A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a contractor of the Agency having need for the information in order to perform a contract. Recipients of information shall be requirements of the Privacy Act of 1974, as emended, pursuant to \$1.0.C. 532(m).

 A record related to an International Application filled under the Patient Cooperation Treaty in this system of reporting Organization, pursuant to the Patient Cooperation Treaty.

 A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to enother federal agency for purposes of National Security review (35.U.S.C. 181) and for review pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act (42.U.S.C. 218(c)).

 A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to morber federal agency for purposes of National Security review (35.U.S.C. 181) and for review pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act (42.U.S.C. 218(c)).

 A record from this system of records may be disclosed, so a routine use, to the Administrator, General Services, or his/her designee, during an inspection of records conductably QSAs apart of that agency's responsibility to recommend improvements in records management practices and programs, under authority of 44.U.S.C. 204 and 2908. Such disclosure shall be made in accordance with the GSA regulations governing inspection of records for this purpose, and any other relevant (4.e., GSA or Commerce) directive. Such disclosure shall be used to make determinations about includuals.

 A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the public after either publication or the application pursuant to 35.U.S.C. 13.(2) or issuance of a patent pursuant to 35.U.S.C. 15.(2) for issuance of a patent pursuant to 35.U.S.C. 15.(2) for issuance of a patent pursuant to 35.U.S.C. 15.(2) for issuance of a patent pursuant to 35.U.S.C. 15.(2) for issuance of a patent.

 A record from this system of records may be d
- issued patent.
 A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Federal, State, or local law enforcement agency, if the USPTO becomes aware of a violation or potential violation of two or regulation.

<2. Unavoidable Delay

As discussed above, "unavoidable" delay is the epitome of "unintentional" delay. Thus, an intentional delay precludes revival under 37 CFR 1.137(a) ("unavoidable" delay) or 37 CFR 1.137(b) ("unintentional" delay). See Maldague, 10 USPQ2d at 1478. Decisions on reviving abandoned applications on the basis of "unavoidable" delay have

adopted the reasonably prudent person standard in determining if the delay was unavoidable:

The word unavoidable'... is applicable to ordinary human affairs, and requires no more or greater care or diligence than is generally used and observed by prudent and careful men in relation to their most important business. It permits them in the exercise of this care to rely upon the ordinary and trustworthy agencies of mail and telegraph, worthy and reliable employees, and such other means and instrumentalities as are usually employed in such important business. If unexpectedly, or through the unforeseen fault or imperfection of these agencies and instrumentalities, there occurs a failure, it may properly be said to be unavoidable, all other conditions of promptness in its rectification being present.

In re Mattullath, 38 App. D.C. 497, 514-15 (1912)(quoting Pratt, 1887 Dec. Comm'r Pat. 31, 32-33 (1887)); see also Winkler v. Ladd, 221 F. Supp. 550, 552, 138 USPQ 666, 667-68 (D.D.C. 1963), aff 'd, 143 USPQ 172 (D.C. Cir. 1963); Ex parte Henrich, 1913 Dec. Comm'r Pat. 139, 141 (1913). In addition, decisions on revival are made on a "case-by-case basis, taking all the facts and circumstances into account." Smith v. Mossinghoff, 671 F.2d 533, 538, 213 USPQ 977, 982 (D.C. Cir. 1982). Finally, a petition cannot be granted where a petitioner has failed to meet his or her burden of establishing that the delay was "unavoidable." Haines v. Quigg, 673 F. Supp. 314, 316-17, 5 USPQ2d 1130, 1131-32 (N.D. Ind. 1987).

A delay resulting from an error (e.g., a docketing error) on the part of an employee in the performance of a clerical function may provide the basis for a showing of "unavoidable" delay, provided it is shown that:

- (A) the error was the cause of the delay at issue;
- (B) there was in place a business routine for performing the clerical function that could reasonably be relied upon to avoid errors in its performance; and
- (C) the employee was sufficiently trained and experienced with regard to the function and routine for its performance that reliance upon such employee represented the exercise of due care.

See In re Egbers, 6 USPQ2d 1869, 1872 (Comm'r Pat. 1988), rev 'd on other grounds sub nom., Theodor Groz & Sohne & Ernst Bechert Nadelfabrik KG v. Quigg, 10 USPQ2d 1787 (D.D.C. 1988); In re Katrapat, 6 USPQ2d 1863, 1867-68 (Comm'r Pat. 1988). For example, where an application becomes abandoned as a consequence of a change of correspondence address (the Office action being mailed to the old, uncorrected address and failing to reach the applicant in sufficient time to permit a timely reply) an adequate showing of "unavoidable" delay will require a showing that due care was taken to adhere to the requirement for prompt notification in each concerned application of the change of address (see MPEP § 601.03), and must include an adequate showing that a timely notification of the change of address was filed in the application concerned, and in a manner reasonably calculated to call attention to the fact that it was a notification of a change of address. The following do not constitute proper notification of a change in correspondence address:

- (A) the mere inclusion, in a paper filed in an application for another purpose, of an address differing from the previously provided correspondence address, without mention of the fact that an address change was being made;
- (B) the notification on a paper listing plural applications as being affected (except as provided for under the Customer Number practice see MPEP § 403); or
- (C) the lack of notification, or belated notification, to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office of the change in correspondence address.

 Delay resulting from the lack of knowledge or improper application of the patent

statute, rules of practice or the MPEP, however, does not constitute "unavoidable" delay. See Haines, 673 F. Supp. at 317, 5 USPQ2d at 1132; Vincent v. Mossinghoff, 230 USPQ 621, 624 (D.D.C. 1985); Smith v. Diamond, 209 USPQ 1091 (D.D.C. 1981); Potter v. Dann, 201 USPQ 574 (D.D.C. 1978); Ex parte Murray, 1891 Dec. Comm'r Pat. 130, 131 (1891). For example, as 37 CFR 1.116 and 1.135(b) are manifest that proceedings concerning an amendment after final rejection will not operate to avoid abandonment of the application in the absence of a timely and proper appeal, a delay is not "unavoidable" when the applicant simply permits the maximum extendable statutory period for reply to a final Office action to expire while awaiting a notice of allowance or other action. Likewise, as a "reasonably prudent person" would file papers or fees in compliance with 37 CFR 1.8 or 1.10 to ensure their timely filing in the USPTO, as well as preserve adequate evidence of such filing, a delay caused by an applicant's failure to file papers or fees in compliance with 37 CFR 1.8 and 1.10 does not constitute "unavoidable" delay. See Krahn, 15 USPQ2d at 1825. Finally, a delay caused by an applicant's lack of knowledge or improper application of the patent statute, rules of practice or the MPEP is not rendered "unavoidable" due to: (A) the applicant's reliance upon oral advice from USPTO employees; or (B) the USPTO's failure to advise the applicant of any deficiency in sufficient time to permit the applicant to take corrective action. See In re Sivertz, 227 USPQ 255, 256 (Comm'r Pat. 1985). 35 U.S.C. 133 and 151 each require a showing that the "delay" was "unavoidable," which requires not only a showing that the delay which resulted in the abandonment of the application was unavoidable, but also a showing of unavoidable delay until the filing of a petition to revive. See In re Application of Takao, 17 USPQ2d 1155 (Comm'r Pat. 1990). The burden of continuing the process of presenting a grantable petition in a timely manner likewise remains with the applicant until the applicant is informed that the petition is granted. Id. at 1158. Thus, an applicant seeking to revive an "unavoidably" abandoned application must cause a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(a) to be filed without delay (i.e., promptly upon becoming notified, or otherwise becoming aware, of the abandonment of the application).

An applicant who fails to file a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(a) "promptly" upon becoming notified, or otherwise becoming aware, of the abandonment of the application will not be able to show that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(a) was unavoidable. The removal of the language in 37 CFR 1.137(a) requiring that any petition thereunder be "promptly filed after the applicant is notified of, or otherwise becomes aware of, the abandonment" should **not** be viewed as: (A) permitting an applicant, upon becoming notified, or otherwise becoming aware, of the abandonment of the application, to delay the filing of a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(a); or (B) changing (or modifying) the result in In re Application of S, 8 USPQ2d 1630 (Comm'r Pat. 1988), in which a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(a) was denied due to the applicant's deliberate deferral in filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.137. An applicant who deliberately chooses to delay the filing of a petition under 37 CFR 1.137 (as in Application of S, 8 USPQ2d at 1632) will not be able to show that "the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to [37 CFR 1.137(a)] was unavoidable" or even make an appropriate statement that "the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to [37 CFR 1.137(b)] was unintentional."

The dismissal or denial of a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(a) does not preclude an applicant from obtaining relief pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) on the basis of unintentional delay (unless the decision dismissing or denying the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(a) indicates otherwise). In such an instance, a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) may be filed accompanied by the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m), the required reply, a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of

a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional, and any terminal disclaimer required by 37 CFR 1.137(c).

Form PTO/SB/61 or PTO/SB/61PCT may be used to file a petition for revival of an unavoidably abandoned application.

App: U.S. Patent and Trader Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no pornors are required to respond to a collection of Informs	roved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0881-0031 work Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE flor unless it displays a valid OMB control number.
PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN APPLICATION FOR PATENT ABANDONED UNAVOIDABLY UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(a)	Docket Number (Optional)
First Named Inventor: Art Ur	nit
Application Number: Exam	iner:
Filed:	
Title:	
Attention: Office of Petitions Mail Stop Petition	
Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450	
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	
NOTE: If information or assistance is needed in completing this fi Petitions information at (571) 272-3282.	orm, please contact
The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to file a timety ar the United Sates Patent and Trademark Office. The date of abandonment is the period set for repty in the Office notice or action plus any extensions of time actus	ne day after the expiration date of the
APPLICANT HEREBY PETITIONS FOR REVIVAL OF THIS APPL NOTE: A grantable petition requires the following Items:	LICATION.
(1) Petition fee. (2) Reply and/or issue fee.	
Terminal disclaimer with disclaimer fee-required for all utility a before June 8, 1995, and for all design applications; and (4) Adequate showing of the cause of unavoidable delay.	and plant applications filed
,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
1. Petition fee	
Small entity – fee \$ (37 CFR 1.17(i)). Applicant claim See 37 CFR 1.27.	is small entity status.
Other than small entity – fee \$ (37 CFR 1.17(I)).	
2. Reply and/or fee	
A The reply and/or fee to the above-noted Office action in the form of (identify th	e type of reply):
has been filed previously on	•
is enclosed herewith.	
B The issue fee of \$	
has been filed previously on	_ •
ls enclosed herewith.	

This concision of information is required by 37 CFR 1.137(a). The information is required to obtain or retain a bareful by the public which is to the card by the USFTO to proceed an explication Confidentiality is operanted by \$5.0 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This concludion is estimated to that it flows to complete, including gethering, preparing, and experiting the completed application form to the USFTO. These will very departuring upon the including experience of the complete of the control of the control of the complete of the control of the c

FTDSSET 177-20
Approved for use through 077-1500. Bell 687-00.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Ottox U.S. DEPARTIECHT OF COMMERCE
whole the Personnon's Reduction Act of 1965, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it deplays a valet PALP

DEPARTMENT OF T PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN APPLICATION FOR PATENT ABANDONED UNAVOIDABLY UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(a) 3. Terminal disclaimer with disclaimer fee Since this utility/plant application was filed on or after June 8, 1995, no terminal disclaimer is required. An adequate showing of the cause of the delay, and that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.137(a) was unavoidable, is enclosed. WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038. Signature Date Typed or printed name Registration Number, if applicable Address Telephone Number Enclosure Fee Payment Reply ☐ Terminal Disclaimer Form Additional sheets containing statements establishing unavoidable delay CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION (37 CFR 1.8(a)) I hereby certify that this correspondence is being:
deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as first
class mall na enreviepe addressed to Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450,
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. transmitted by facsimile on the date shown below to the United States Patent and Trademark Office at (571) 273-4300. Date Skinature Typed or printed name of person signing certificate

[Page 2 of 3]

PTO/SB/81 (07-95)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0861-0031
Frademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMISSION

nder the P	U.S. Petent sperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection	and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.
	PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN APPLICATION F UNAVOIDABLY UNDER 37 CF	
NOTE:	The following showing of the cause of unavoidable delay must party who is presenting statements concerning the cause of de	
	Signature	Date
	Typed or printed name	Registration Number, if applicable
	(in the space provided below, please explain in detail the reason	ns for the delay in filing a proper reply.)
		:
	·	
	(Please attach additional sheets if additional	al space is needed.)
	[Page 3 of 3]	

PTOSBA UPCT (12-04)
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. Detect and Trademark Office; U.S. Detect Technology (U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. Detect Technology (U.S. Detect Technology)
on Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless i

PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN INTERNATIONAL AI DESIGNATING THE U.S. ABANDONED UNAVOIDAB	PPLICATION FOR PATENT Docket Number (Optional)
First named inventor:	U.S. Application No.:
International (PCT) Application Number:	,
Filed:	
Title:	
Attention: PCT Legal Staff	
Mail Stop PCT Commissioner for Patents	
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	
Albabilia AA 22313-1430	
The above-identified application became abandoned as to the 35 U.S.C. 371(c) were not filed prior to the expiration of the tir abandonment is the day after the date on which the 35 U.S.C.	ne set in 37 CFR 1,495(b) or (c) (as applicable). The date of
APPLICANT HEREBY PETITIONS FO	R REVIVAL OF THIS APPLICATION
NOTE: A grantable petition requires the	following Items:
(1) Petition fee; (2) Proper reply;	
(3) Terminal disclaimer with disclaim international filing date before Ju	ner fee—required for all international applications having an ine 8, 1995; and
(4) Adequate showing of the cause 1. Position fee	of unavoidable delay.
See 37 CFR 1.27.	37 CFR 1.17(I)). Applicant claims amail entity status.
Other than small entity - fee \$	(37 CFR 1.17(l))
2. Proper raply	
A. The proper reply (the missing 35	U.S.C. 371(c) requirements) in the form of (identity the type of reply):
was previously filed on	
is enclosed herewith.	

The collection of information is readwall by 37 CFR 1,137(s). The information is required to doctant or resists is benefit by the public which is to the (and by the USFTO to proceedings) in application. Confidentially is governed by 38 U.B.C.; 12 and 37 CFR 1,11 and 1,144. This collection is estimated to late 4.5 hours to complete, including pethwing, preparing, and automating the completed expectation form to the USFTO. The well very depending upon the Individual cases. Any convention on the memoust of time by unequive to complete the terms and/or completed for invalently the burster, mobile to send to the Cultimation Colors, U.S. Paper and Trademan Colors, U.S. Department of Colors, P.D. Ber 1450, Alexandria, W. 22131-1450. DO NOT 250 PEES 407 COLORS.

PTO/SSA61/PCT (12-04)
Approved for use through 03/31/2007, OMB 0651-0021
U.S. Petent and Tradement Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperson's Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of int PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION FOR DESIGNATING THE U.S. ABANDONED UNAVOIDABLY UNDER 37 CFI	PATENT
3. Terminal disclaimer with disclaimer fee	
Since this international application has an international Sing date on or after no terminal discissmer is required.	r June 8, 1995,
A terminal disctairner (and disctairner fee (37 CFR 1.20(d)) of \$	for a small entity
or \$for other than a small entity) disclaiming the re- herewith (see PTO/SB/63).	quired period of time is enclosed
 An adequate showing of the cause of the delay, and that the entire delay in filir continuing U.S. application) from their due date until the filing of a grantable pe 	g the 35 U.S.C. 371(c) requirements (or a lition under 37 CFR 1.137(a) was
unavoidable, is enclosed.	
WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card informat	ton should not be included on this form
Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.	
Signature	Date
Total - Part 1 have	Control of the state of a control of
Typed or Printed Name	Registration Number, if applicable
Address	Telephone Number
Address	
Enclosures: Additional sheets containing statements establishing unavoidable	delav
Fee Payment	,
Reply	
Terminal Disclaimer Form	
Other (please identify):	
[Page 2 of 3]	
	DTARBELIANT (15.5A)
. U.S. Peternt and Tri	PTO/SB/6 I/PCT (13-04) oproved for use through 03/31/2007, OMB 0551-0021 demark Office, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
ETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION FOR F	oproved for use through 03/31/2007. OMB 0651-0021 idemark 070io; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE metion unless it displays a valid OMB control number. ATENT Docket Number (Optional)
	oproved for use through 03/31/2007. OMB 0651-0021 idemark 070io; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE metion unless it displays a valid OMB control number. ATENT Docket Number (Optional)
ETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION FOR F	oproved for use through 03/31/2007. OMB 0651-0021 idemark 070io; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE metion unless it displays a valid OMB control number. ATENT Docket Number (Optional)
PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION FOR F DESIGNATING THE U.S. ABANDONED UNAVOIDABLY UNDER 37 CFR NOTE: The following showing of the cause of unavoidable delay must be sign	oproved for use Prough 103/10007. OMB 0681-0021 deman Office; US. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE middle united a displaye a valid OMB control number. ATENY Docket Number (Optional) 1.137(a)
PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION FOR F DESIGNATING THE U.S. ABANDONED UNAVOIDABLY UNDER 37 CFR	oproved for use Prough 103/10007. OMB 0681-0021 deman Office; US. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE middle united a displaye a valid OMB control number. ATENY Docket Number (Optional) 1.137(a)
PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION FOR F DESIGNATING THE U.S. ABANDONED UNAVOIDABLY UNDER 37 CFR NOTE: The following showing of the cause of unavoidable delay must be sign party who is presenting statements concerning the cause of delay.	opposed for use Prough (37/1/2007, Ose) ode) ode) occi- dement Office; to Do Ose (36/1/2007) A Company (36/1/2007) Docket Number (Optional) 1.137(a) Docket Number (Optional) do by all applicants or by any other
PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION FOR F DESIGNATING THE U.S. ABANDONED UNAVOIDABLY UNDER 37 CFR NOTE: The following showing of the cause of unavoidable delay must be sign	oproved for use Prough 103/10007. OMB 0681-0021 deman Office; US. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE middle united a displaye a valid OMB control number. ATENY Docket Number (Optional) 1.137(a)
PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION FOR F DESIGNATING THE U.S. ABANDONED UNAVOIDABLY UNDER 37 CFR NOTE: The following showing of the cause of unavoidable delay must be sign party who is presenting statements concerning the cause of delay. Signature	oproved for use Prough (3/3/2007, ONE) 063-1-0272 dement Office, 10 CoPA/Property For Couldiscrete ATENT Docket Number (Optional) 1.137(a) Docket Number (Optional) do by all applicants or by any other Date
PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION FOR F DESIGNATING THE U.S. ABANDONED UNAVOIDABLY UNDER 37 CFR NOTE: The following showing of the cause of unavoidable delay must be sign party who is presenting statements concerning the cause of delay.	opposed for use Prough (37/1/2007, Ose) ode) ode) occi- dement Office; to Do Ose (36/1/2007) A Company (36/1/2007) Docket Number (Optional) 1.137(a) Docket Number (Optional) do by all applicants or by any other
PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION FOR F DESIGNATING THE U.S. ABANDONED UNAVOIDABLY UNDER 37 CFR NOTE: The following showing of the cause of unavoidable delay must be sign party who is presenting statements concerning the cause of delay. Signature Typed or Printed Name (In the space provided below, please explain in detail why the 35 U.S.C. 371(c) elections.	oproved for use Prough (3/3/2007, ONE) 063-1-0272 dement Office, 10 CoPA/Property For Couldiscrete ATENT Docket Number (Optional) 1.137(a) Docket Number (Optional) do by all applicants or by any other Date
PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION FOR F DESIGNATING THE U.S. ABANDONED UNAVOIDABLY UNDER 37 CFR NOTE: The following showing of the cause of unavoidable delay must be sign party who is presenting statements concerning the cause of delay. Signature	oproved for use Prough (3/3/2007, ONE) 063-1-0272 dement Office, 10 CoPA/Property For Couldiscrete ATENT Docket Number (Optional) 1.137(a) Docket Number (Optional) do by all applicants or by any other Date
PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION FOR F DESIGNATING THE U.S. ABANDONED UNAVOIDABLY UNDER 37 CFR NOTE: The following showing of the cause of unavoidable delay must be sign party who is presenting statements concerning the cause of delay. Signature Typed or Printed Name (In the space provided below, please explain in detail why the 35 U.S.C. 371(c) elected.	oproved for use Prough (3/3/2007, ONE) 063-1-0272 dement Office, 10 CoPA/Property For Couldiscrete ATENT Docket Number (Optional) 1.137(a) Docket Number (Optional) do by all applicants or by any other Date
PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION FOR F DESIGNATING THE U.S. ABANDONED UNAVOIDABLY UNDER 37 CFR NOTE: The following showing of the cause of unavoidable delay must be sign party who is presenting statements concerning the cause of delay. Signature Typed or Printed Name (In the space provided below, please explain in detail why the 35 U.S.C. 371(c) elections.	oproved for use Prough (3/3/2007, ONE) 063-1-0272 dement Office, 10 CoPA/Property For Couldiscrete ATENT Docket Number (Optional) 1.137(a) Docket Number (Optional) do by all applicants or by any other Date
PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION FOR F DESIGNATING THE U.S. ABANDONED UNAVOIDABLY UNDER 37 CFR NOTE: The following showing of the cause of unavoidable delay must be sign party who is presenting statements concerning the cause of delay. Signature Typed or Printed Name (In the space provided below, please explain in detail why the 35 U.S.C. 371(c) elected.	oproved for use Prough (3/3/2007, ONE) 063-1-0272 dement Office, 10 CoPA/Property For Couldiscrete ATENT Docket Number (Optional) 1.137(a) Docket Number (Optional) do by all applicants or by any other Date
PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION FOR F DESIGNATING THE U.S. ABANDONED UNAVOIDABLY UNDER 37 CFR NOTE: The following showing of the cause of unavoidable delay must be sign party who is presenting statements concerning the cause of delay. Signature Typed or Printed Name (In the space provided below, please explain in detail why the 35 U.S.C. 371(c) elections.	oproved for use Prough (3/3/2007, ONE) 063-1-0272 dement Office, 10 CoPA/Property For Couldiscrete ATENT Docket Number (Optional) 1.137(a) Docket Number (Optional) do by all applicants or by any other Date
PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION FOR F DESIGNATING THE U.S. ABANDONED UNAVOIDABLY UNDER 37 CFR NOTE: The following showing of the cause of unavoidable delay must be sign party who is presenting statements concerning the cause of delay. Signature Typed or Printed Name (In the space provided below, please explain in detail why the 35 U.S.C. 371(c) elections.	oproved for use Prough (3/3/2007, ONE) 063-1-0272 dement Office, 10 CoPA/Property For Couldiscrete ATENT Docket Number (Optional) 1.137(a) Docket Number (Optional) do by all applicants or by any other Date
PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION FOR F DESIGNATING THE U.S. ABANDONED UNAVOIDABLY UNDER 37 CFR NOTE: The following showing of the cause of unavoidable delay must be sign party who is presenting statements concerning the cause of delay. Signature Typed or Printed Name (In the space provided below, please explain in detail why the 35 U.S.C. 371(c) elections.	oproved for use Prough (3/3/2007, ONE) 063-1-0272 dement Office, 10 CoPA/Property For Couldiscrete ATENT Docket Number (Optional) 1.137(a) Docket Number (Optional) do by all applicants or by any other Date
PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION FOR F DESIGNATING THE U.S. ABANDONED UNAVOIDABLY UNDER 37 CFR NOTE: The following showing of the cause of unavoidable delay must be sign party who is presenting statements concerning the cause of delay. Signature Typed or Printed Name (In the space provided below, please explain in detail why the 35 U.S.C. 371(c) elections.	oproved for use Prough (3/3/2007, ONE) 063-1-0272 dement Office, 10 CoPA/Property For Couldiscrete ATENT Docket Number (Optional) 1.137(a) Docket Number (Optional) do by all applicants or by any other Date
PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION FOR F DESIGNATING THE U.S. ABANDONED UNAVOIDABLY UNDER 37 CFR NOTE: The following showing of the cause of unavoidable delay must be sign party who is presenting statements concerning the cause of delay. Signature Typed or Printed Name (In the space provided below, please explain in detail why the 35 U.S.C. 371(c) elections.	oproved for use Prough (3/3/2007, ONE) 063-1-0272 dement Office, 10 CoPA/Property For Couldiscrete ATENT Docket Number (Optional) 1.137(a) Docket Number (Optional) do by all applicants or by any other Date
PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION FOR F DESIGNATING THE U.S. ABANDONED UNAVOIDABLY UNDER 37 CFR NOTE: The following showing of the cause of unavoidable delay must be sign party who is presenting statements concerning the cause of delay. Signature Typed or Printed Name (In the space provided below, please explain in detail why the 35 U.S.C. 371(c) elections.	oproved for use Prough (3/3/2007, ONE) 063-1-0272 dement Office, 10 CoPA/Property For Couldiscrete ATENT Docket Number (Optional) 1.137(a) Docket Number (Optional) do by all applicants or by any other Date
PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION FOR F DESIGNATING THE U.S. ABANDONED UNAVOIDABLY UNDER 37 CFR NOTE: The following showing of the cause of unavoidable delay must be sign party who is presenting statements concerning the cause of delay. Signature Typed or Printed Name (In the space provided below, please explain in detail why the 35 U.S.C. 371(c) elections.	oproved for use Prough (3/3/2007, ONE) 063-1-0272 dement Office, 10 CoPA/Property For Couldiscrete ATENT Docket Number (Optional) 1.137(a) Docket Number (Optional) do by all applicants or by any other Date
PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION FOR F DESIGNATING THE U.S. ABANDONED UNAVOIDABLY UNDER 37 CFR NOTE: The following showing of the cause of unavoidable delay must be sign party who is presenting statements concerning the cause of delay. Signature Typed or Printed Name (In the space provided below, please explain in detail why the 35 U.S.C. 371(c) elections.	oproved for use Prough (3/3/2007, ONE) 063-1-0272 dement Office, 10 CoPA/Property For Couldiscrete ATENT Docket Number (Optional) 1.137(a) Docket Number (Optional) do by all applicants or by any other Date
PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION FOR F DESIGNATING THE U.S. ABANDONED UNAVOIDABLY UNDER 37 CFR NOTE: The following showing of the cause of unavoidable delay must be sign party who is presenting statements concerning the cause of delay. Signature Typed or Printed Name (In the space provided below, please explain in detail why the 35 U.S.C. 371(c) elections.	oproved for use Prough (3/3/2007, ONE) 0631-0021 dement Office, 10 CoPA/Property For Couldiscrete ATENT Docket Number (Optional) 1.137(a) Docket Number (Optional) do by all applicants or by any other Date
PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION FOR F DESIGNATING THE U.S. ABANDONED UNAVOIDABLY UNDER 37 CFR NOTE: The following showing of the cause of unavoidable delay must be sign party who is presenting statements concerning the cause of delay. Signature Typed or Printed Name (In the space provided below, please explain in detail why the 35 U.S.C. 371(c) elections.	oproved for use Prough (3/3/2007, ONE) 0631-0021 dement Office, 10 CoPA/Property For Couldiscrete ATENT Docket Number (Optional) 1.137(a) Docket Number (Optional) do by all applicants or by any other Date
PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION FOR F DESIGNATING THE U.S. ABANDONED UNAVOIDABLY UNDER 37 CFR NOTE: The following showing of the cause of unavoidable delay must be sign party who is presenting statements concerning the cause of delay. Signature Typed or Printed Name (In the space provided below, please explain in detail why the 35 U.S.C. 371(c) elections.	oproved for use Prough (3/3/2007, ONE) 0631-0021 dement Office, 10 CoPA/Property For Couldiscrete ATENT Docket Number (Optional) 1.137(a) Docket Number (Optional) do by all applicants or by any other Date
PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION FOR F DESIGNATING THE U.S. ABANDONED UNAVOIDABLY UNDER 37 CFR NOTE: The following showing of the cause of unavoidable delay must be sign party who is presenting statements concerning the cause of delay. Signature Typed or Printed Name (In the space provided below, please explain in detail why the 35 U.S.C. 371(c) elections.	oproved for use Prough (3/3/2007, ONE) 0631-0021 dement Office, 10 CoPA/Property For Couldiscrete ATENT Docket Number (Optional) 1.137(a) Docket Number (Optional) do by all applicants or by any other Date
PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION FOR F DESIGNATING THE U.S. ABANDONED UNAVOIDABLY UNDER 37 CFR NOTE: The following showing of the cause of unavoidable delay must be sign party who is presenting statements concerning the cause of delay. Signature Typed or Printed Name (In the space provided below, please explain in detail why the 35 U.S.C. 371(c) elections.	opproved for use Prough (37/1,0007, ONE) 0681-0621-0621-0600000000000000000000000000

(Page 3 of 3)

Privacy Act Statement

he Privacy Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-579) requires that you be given certain information in connection the your aubmission of the attached form related to a patent application or patent. Accordingly, resuart to the requirements of the Act, please be advised that: (1) the general authority for the decision of this information is 35 U.S.C. 2(0)(2); (2) lumishing of the information solicities to votunt d (3) the principal purpose for which the information is used by the U.S. Patent and Trademark fine is to process and/or examine your submission related to a patent application or patent. If you thurshin the requested information, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office may not be able to cosss and/or examine your submission, which may result in termination of proceedings or andonoment of the application or expiration of the patent.

The information provided by you in this form will be subject to the following routine uses

- The information on this form will be treated confidentially to the extent allowed under the Freedom of Information Act (6 U.S.C. 552) and the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. 552a). Records from this system of records may be disclosed to the Department of Justice to detarmine whether disclosure of these records is required by the Freedom of Information Act.

 A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, in the course of presenting evidence to a court, megistrate, or administrative tribunal, including disclosures to opposing coursel in the course of settlement negotiations.

 A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Member of Corgress submitting a request involving an individual, to whom the record pertains, when the individual has requested assistance from the Member with respect to the subject matter of the record.

- individual has requests involving an individual, to whom the record pertains, when the record.

 A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a contractor of the Agency having need for the information in order to perform a contract. Recipients of information shall be required to comply with the requirements of the Privacy Act of 1974, as semended, pursuant to \$1.95. S22a(m).

 A record related to an international Application filed under the Patent Cooperation Treaty in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the International Bureau of the World Intellectual Property Organization, pursuant to the Patent Cooperation Treaty and A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to another foderal spency for purposes of National Security teview (35 U.S.C. 181) and for review pursuant to the Atomic Tenetry Act (42 U.S.C. 218(c)).

 A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the Administrator, General Services, or his/her designee, during an inspection of records conducted by GSA as part of that agency's responsibility to recommend improvements in records management precises and any other relevant (a.C. SA of 4 U.S.C. 236(c)).

 A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the Administrator, denient second and any other relevant (a.C. SA of Commency) directive. Such disclosure shall be made in accordance with the GSA regulations governing inspection of records for this purpose, and any other relevant (a.C. SA of Commency) directive. Such disclosure shall not be used to make determinations about individuals.

 A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the public after either publication of the application pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 125(c) of issuance of a planting unsuant to 35 U.S.C. 125(c) of issuance of a planting unsuant to 35 U.S.C. 125(c) of issuance of a planting unsuant to 35 U.S.C. 125(c) of issuance of a planting unsuant to 35 U.S.C. 125(c) of issuanc

D. Delay Until the Filing of a Grantable Petition

There are three periods to be considered during the evaluation of a petition under 37 CFR 1.137:

- (A) the delay in reply that originally resulted in the abandonment;
- the delay in filing an initial petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137 to revive the application; and
- the delay in filing a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137 to revive the application.

As discussed above, the abandonment of an application is considered to be a deliberately chosen course of action, and the resulting delay cannot be considered as "unintentional" within the meaning of 37 CFR 1.137(b), where the applicant deliberately permits the application to become abandoned. See Application of G, 11 USPQ2d at 1380. Likewise, where the applicant deliberately chooses not to seek or persist in seeking the revival of an abandoned application, or where the applicant deliberately chooses to delay seeking the revival of an abandoned application, the resulting delay in seeking revival of the abandoned application cannot be considered as "unintentional" within the meaning of 37 CFR 1.137(b). An intentional delay resulting from a deliberate course of action chosen by the applicant is not affected by:

- (A) the correctness of the applicant's (or applicant's representative's) decision to abandon the application or not to seek or persist in seeking revival of the application;
- the correctness or propriety of a rejection, or other objection, requirement, or decision by the Office; or
- the discovery of new information or evidence, or other change in circumstances subsequent to the abandonment or decision not to seek or persist in seeking revival.

Obviously, delaying the revival of an abandoned application, by a deliberately chosen

course of action, until the industry or a competitor shows an interest in the invention is the antithesis of an "unavoidable" or "unintentional" delay. An intentional abandonment of an application, or an intentional delay in seeking the revival of an abandoned application, precludes a finding of unavoidable or unintentional delay pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137. See *Maldague*, 10 USPQ2d at 1478.

The Office does not generally question whether there has been an intentional or otherwise impermissible delay in filing an initial petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), when such petition is filed: (A) within 3 months of the date the applicant is first notified that the application is abandoned; and (2) within 1 year of the date of abandonment of the application. Thus, an applicant seeking revival of an abandoned application is advised to file a petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137 within 3 months of the first notification that the application is abandoned to avoid the question of intentional delay being raised by the Office (or by third parties seeking to challenge any patent issuing from the application). Where a petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b) is not filed within 3 months of the date the applicant is first notified that the application is abandoned, the Office will consider there to be a question as to whether the delay was unavoidable or unintentional. In such instances,

- (A) the Office will require a showing as to how the delay between the date the applicant was first notified that the application was abandoned and the date a 37 CFR 1.137(a) petition was filed was "unavoidable"; or
- (B) the Office may require further information as to the cause of the delay between the date the applicant was first notified that the application was abandoned and the date a 37 CFR 1.137(b) petition was filed, and how such delay was "unintentional."

To avoid delay in the consideration of the merits of a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b) in instances in which such petition was not filed within 3 months of the date the applicant was first notified that the application was abandoned, applicants should include a showing as to how the delay between the date the applicant was first notified by the Office that the application was abandoned and the filing of a petition under 37 CFR 1.137 was (A) "unavoidable" in a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(a); or (B) "unintentional" in a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b).

Where a petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b) is not filed within 1 year of the date of abandonment of the application (note that abandonment takes place by operation of law, rather than by the mailing of a Notice of Abandonment) the Office will require:

- (A) further information as to when the applicant (or the applicant's representative) first became aware of the abandonment of the application; and
- (B) a showing as to how the delay in discovering the abandoned status of the application occurred despite the exercise of due care or diligence on the part of the applicant (or applicant's representative) (see *Pratt*, 1887 Dec. Comm'r Pat. at 32-33).

To avoid delay in the consideration of the merits of a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b) in instances in which such petition was not filed within 1 year of the date of abandonment of the application, applicants should include:

- (A) the date that the applicant first became aware of the abandonment of the application; and
- (B) a showing as to how the delay in discovering the abandoned status of the application occurred despite the exercise of due care or diligence on the part of the applicant.

In either instance, applicant's failure to carry the burden of proof to establish that the "entire" delay was "unavoidable" or "unintentional" may lead to the denial of a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or 37 CFR 1.137(b), regardless of the circumstances that

originally resulted in the abandonment of the application.

E. Party Whose Delay Is Relevant

The question under 37 CFR 1.137 is whether the delay on the part of the party having the right or authority to reply to avoid abandonment (or not reply) was unavoidable or unintentional. When the applicant assigns the entire right, title, and interest in an invention to a third party (and thus does not retain any legal or equitable interest in the invention), the applicant's delay is irrelevant in evaluating whether the delay was unavoidable or even unintentional. See *Kim v. Quigg*, 718 F. Supp. 1280, 1284, 12 USPQ2d 1604, 1607-08 (E.D. Va. 1989). When an applicant assigns the application to a third party (e.g., the inventor/applicant's employer), and the third party decides not to file a reply to avoid abandonment, the applicant's actions, inactions or intentions are irrelevant under 37 CFR 1.137, unless the third party has reassigned the application to the applicant prior to the due date for the reply. *Id*.

Likewise, where the applicant permits a third party (whether a partial assignee, licensee, or other party) to control the prosecution of an application, the third party's decision whether or not to file a reply to avoid abandonment is binding on the applicant. See *Winkler*, 221 F. Supp. at 552, 138 USPQ at 667. Where an applicant enters an agreement with a third party for the third party to take control of the prosecution of an application, the applicant will be considered to have given the third party the right and authority to prosecute the application to avoid abandonment (or not prosecute), unless, by the express terms of the contract between applicant and the third party, the third party is conducting the prosecution of the application for the applicant solely in a fiduciary capacity. See *Futures Technology Ltd. v. Quigg*, 684 F. Supp. 430, 431, 7 USPQ2d 1588, 1589 (E.D. Va. 1988). Otherwise, the applicant will be considered to have given the third party unbridled discretion to prosecute (or not prosecute) the application to avoid abandonment, and will be bound by the actions or inactions of such third party.

F. Burden of Proof To Establish Unavoidable or Unintentional Delay

37 CFR 1.137(a) (3) requires a showing to the satisfaction of the Director of the USPTO that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(a) was unavoidable. Therefore, the Office will require the applicant in every petition under 37 CFR 1.137(a) to carry the burden of proof to establish that the delay from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition was unavoidable. See *Haines*, 673 F. Supp. at 316-17, 5 USPQ2d at 1131-32.

37 CFR 1.137(b) (3) requires that a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by a statement that the entire delay in providing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional, but also provides that "[t]he Director may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional." While the Office will generally require only the statement that the entire delay in providing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional, the Office may require an applicant to carry the burden of proof to establish that the delay from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition was unintentional within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 41(a)(7) and 37 CFR 1.137(b) where there is a question whether the entire delay was unintentional. See *Application of G*, 11 USPQ2d at 1380.

G. Terminal Disclaimer Requirement

37 CFR 1.137(d) requires that a petition under either 37 CFR 1.137(a) or 1.137(b) be accompanied by a terminal disclaimer (and fee), regardless of the period of abandonment, in:

- (A) a design application;
- (B) a nonprovisional utility application > (other than a reissue application) < filed before June 8, 1995; or
- (C) a nonprovisional plant application > (other than a reissue application) < filed before June 8, 1995.

In addition, a terminal disclaimer (and fee) is also required for a utility or plant application filed on or after June 8, 1995, but before May 29, 2000, where the application became abandoned (1) during appeal, (2) during interference, or (3) while under a secrecy order. The reason being that utility and plant patents issuing on applications filed on or after June 8, 1995, but before May 29, 2000, are eligible for the patent term extension under former 35 U.S.C. 154(b) (as a result of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA)). See 35 U.S.C. 154(b) (1999); see also 37 CFR 1.701. If such an application is abandoned (1) during appeal, (2) during interference, or (3) while under a secrecy order, the patentee of a patent issuing from such an application is eligible for patent term extension for the entire period of abandonment. The requirement for a terminal disclaimer for these situations will make certain that any patent term extension obtained for the period of abandonment while the application is under appeal, interference, or a secrecy order will be dedicated to the public. For utility and plant applications filed on or after May 29, 2000, a terminal disclaimer (and fee) is not required since the period of abandonment is reduced from the patent term adjustment pursuant to 37 CFR 1.704.

The terminal disclaimer submitted in a design application must dedicate to the public a terminal part of the term of any patent granted thereon equivalent to the period of abandonment of the application. The terminal disclaimer submitted in either a utility or plant application filed before June 8, 1995 must dedicate to the public a terminal part of the term of any patent granted thereon equivalent to the lesser of. (1) the period of abandonment of the application; or (2) the period extending beyond twenty years from the date on which the application for the patent was filed in the United States or, if the application contains a specific reference to an earlier filed application(s) under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121, or 365(c), from the date on which the earliest such application was filed. The terminal disclaimer must also apply to any patent granted on any continuing utility or plant application filed before June 8, 1995, or any continuing design application, entitled under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121, or 365(c) to the benefit of the filing date of the application for which revival is sought. The terminal disclaimer requirement of 37 CFR 1.137(d) does not apply to >(A)< applications for which revival is sought solely for purposes of copendency with a utility or plant application filed on or after June 8, 1995, **>(B)< lapsed patents>, (C) reissue applications, or (D) reexamination proceedings<. The Office cannot determine (at the time a petition to revive is granted) the period disclaimed (i.e., which period is lesser: the period of abandonment of the application, or the period extending beyond twenty years from the date on which the application for the patent was filed in the United States or, if the application contains a specific reference to an earlier filed application(s) under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121, or 365(c), from the date on which the earliest such application was filed). Therefore, the Office will not indicate the period disclaimed under 37 CFR 1.137(d) in its decision granting a petition to revive an abandoned application.

The filing of a terminal disclaimer is not a substitute for unavoidable or unintentional delay. See *Application of Takao*, 17 USPQ2d at 1159. The requirement that the entire delay have been unavoidable (37 CFR 1.137(a)) or at least unintentional (37 CFR 1.137(b)) is distinct from the requirement for a terminal disclaimer. Therefore, the filing of a terminal disclaimer cannot excuse an intentional delay in filing a petition or renewed petition to revive an abandoned application. Likewise, an unavoidable or unintentional delay in filing a petition or renewed petition to revive an abandoned application will not warrant waiver

of the terminal disclaimer requirement of 37 CFR 1.137(d).

In the event that an applicant considers the requirement for a terminal disclaimer to be inappropriate under the circumstances of the application at issue, the applicant should file a petition under 37 CFR 1.183 (and petition fee) to request a waiver of this requirement of 37 CFR 1.183. Such a petition may request waiver of this requirement *in toto*, or to the extent that such requirement exceeds the period considered by applicant as the appropriate period of disclaimer. The grant of such a petition, however, is strictly limited to situations wherein applicant has made a showing of an "extraordinary situation" in which "justice requires" the requested relief. An example of such a situation is when the abandonment of the application caused no actual delay in prosecution (e.g., an application awaiting decision by the Board of Appeals and Interferences during period of abandonment).

Forms PTO/SB/62 and PTO/SB/63 may be used when filing a terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 CFR 1.137(d).

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1985, no persons are required to respond to a collection	Approved for use through 07/31/2008, OMB 0651-00: It and Trademerk Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC on of information unless it displays a yelld OME control numb
Access to the state of the state of the barrens and sedence of technique and sedence	Docket Number (Optional)
TERMINAL DISCLAIMER TO ACCOMPANY PETITIO	
n re Application of:	•
Name:	
Application Number:	
Filed:	
For:	
The owner*, of of ppp6cation hereby disclaims the terminal months of any potent my application that contains a specific reference under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121 indig upon the grantee, its successors or assigns.	percent interest in the above-identific granted on the above-identified application or , or 365(c) to this application. This disclaimes
Check either box 1 or 2 below, if appropriate.	
For submissions on behalf of an organization (e.g., corporation, partner person signing is empowered to act on behalf of the organization.	ship, university, government agency, etc.), the
	true and that all statements made on informat e with knowledge that willful false statements a ion 1001, Title 18 of the United States Code, a
person signing is empowered to act on behalf of the organization. hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are and belief are believed to be true; and further, that these statements are made he like so made are punishable by the or implicanment, or both, under Section.	true and that all statements made on informat e with knowledge that willful false statements a ion 1001, Title 18 of the United States Code, a
person signing is empowered to act on behalf of the organization, hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are and barief are believed to be true; and further, that these statements are made he like so made are punishable by the or implatement, or both, under Sect hat such withit false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application.	true and that all statements made on informati e with knowledge that willful false statements a ion 1001, Title 18 of the United States Code, a
person signing is empowered to act on behalf of the organization, hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are and barief are believed to be true; and further, that these statements are made he like so made are punishable by the or implatement, or both, under Sect hat such withit false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application.	true and that all statements made on informat e with knowledge that willful false statements a ion 1001, Title 18 of the United States Code, a
person signing is empowered to act on behalf of the organization, hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are und belief are believed to be true; and further, that these statements are made he like so made are punishable by the or imprisonment, or bod, under Sectiant such withit false statements may leopardize the validity of the application.	true and that all statements made on informate with knowledge that within false statements a for 1001, Tale 18 of the United States Code, a or any patent lassing thereon.
person signing is empowered to act on behalf of the organization. hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are and belief are believed to be true; and further, that these statements are made he like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Secthat such withit take statements may jeopardize the validity of the application 2. The undersigned is an attorney of record. Registration Number	true end that all statements made on informats e with knowledge that willful false statements ion 1001, Title 18 of the United States Code, a or any patent issuing thereon.
person signing is empowered to act on behalf of the organization. hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are not belief are believed to be true; and further, that these statements are made he like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Sect as such with takes statements may jeopardize he valdity of the application to the state of the true properties of the statements may jeopardize he valdity of the application to the statements of the statement of the statement of the statement of the statements of the statement of	true and that all statements made on informs with knowledge that willful false statements ion 1001, Title 18 of the United States Code, or any patent issuing thereon. Date Telephone Number Credit card Information should

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-8199 and select option 2

PTO/SISIS (Sec. U.S.) Patient and Trademant Other; U.S. OPPARTMENT OF COMMENT
Under the Paperson, Reduction Act of 1985, no persons are regaland to a callection of Information unless it displays a wall OME control num
TERMINAL DISCLAIMER TO ACCOMPANY PETITION

Docket Number (Optional)

In re Application of:

Check either box 1 or 2 below, if appropriate.

The property of the property

The undersigned is an attorney or agent of record. Registration Number

Signature Date

Terminal disclaimer fee under 37 CFR 1.20(d) Included.

Typed or Printed Name

Application Number

WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is required if terminal disclaimer is signed by the assignee (owner).
 Form PTO/SB/96 may be used for making this certification. See MPEP § 324.

This collection of information is required by 37 CPR 1,137. The Information is required to obtain or relatin a beneality the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Continentating is powered by 33 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CPR 1,11 and 1,14. This collection is estimated to base is 2 continentating to complete deposition from the Very Polyton Continentation is estimated to be an interest on complete and the complete deposition from the Very Polyton Continent and the second of the process of the complete deposition from the Very Polyton Continent and the Continent and the Very Polyton Continent and the Very Polyton Continent and Very Polyton

if you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option :

<H. Request for Reconsideration

37 CFR 1.137(e) requires that any request for reconsideration or review of a decision refusing to revive an abandoned application or lapsed patent must be filed within 2 months of the decision refusing to revive or within such time as set in the decision. 37 CFR 1.137(e) further provides that, unless a decision indicates otherwise, this time period for requesting reconsideration or review may be extended under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136.

37 CFR 1.137(e) specifies a time period within which a renewed petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137 must be filed to be considered timely. Where an applicant files a renewed petition, request for reconsideration, or other petition seeking review of a prior decision on a petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137 outside the time period specified in 37 CFR 1.137(e), the Office may require, *inter alia*, a specific showing as to how the entire delay was "unavoidable" (37 CFR 1.137(a)) or "unintentional" (37 CFR 1.137(b)). As discussed above, a delay resulting from the applicant deliberately choosing not to persist in seeking the revival of an abandoned application cannot be considered "unavoidable" or "unintentional" within the meaning of 37 CFR 1.137, and the correctness or propriety of the decision on the prior petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137, the correctness of the applicant's (or the applicant's representative's) decision not to persist in seeking revival, the discovery of new information or evidence, or other change in circumstances subsequent to the abandonment or decision to not persist in seeking revival are immaterial to such intentional delay caused by the deliberate course of action chosen by the applicant.

I. Provisional Applications

37 CFR 1.137 is applicable to a provisional application abandoned for failure to reply to

an Office requirement. A petition under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b) must be accompanied by any outstanding reply to an Office requirement, since 37 CFR 1.137(a)(1) and 1.137(b)(1) permit the filing of a continuing application in lieu of the required reply only in a nonprovisional application.

35 U.S.C. 111(b) (5) provides that a provisional application shall be regarded as abandoned 12 months after its filing date and shall not be subject to revival after such 12-month period. 37 CFR 1.137(g) provides that a provisional application, abandoned for failure to timely respond to an Office requirement, may be revived pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137, however a provisional application will not be regarded as pending after twelve months from its filing date under any circumstances. Note that the pendency of a provisional application is extended to the next succeeding secular or business day if the day that is twelve months after the filing date of the provisional application falls on a Saturday, Sunday, or Federal holiday within the District of Columbia. See 35 U.S.C. 119(e)(3).

A provisional application may be abandoned prior to 12 months from its filing date for failure to reply to an Office requirement (e.g., failure to submit the filing fee and/or cover sheet). Applicant may petition to have an abandoned provisional application revived as a pending provisional application for a period of <u>no</u> longer than 12 months from the filing date of the provisional application where the delay was unavoidable or unintentional. It would be permissible to file a petition for revival later than 12 months from the filing date of the provisional application but only to revive the application for the 12-month period following the filing of the provisional application. Thus, even if the petition were granted to establish the pendency up to the end of the 12-month period, the provisional application would not be considered pending after 12 months from its filing date.

711.03(d) [R-2] Examiner's Statement on Petition To Set Aside Examiner's Holding

37 CFR 1.181 states that the examiner **> "may be directed by the Director to furnish a written statement within a specific time setting forth the reasons for his or her decision upon the matters averred in the petition, supplying a copy thereof to the petitioner." < Unless requested, however, such a statement should not be prepared. See MPEP § 1002.01.

711.04 [R-2] Public Access to Abandoned Applications

**>Access will be provided to the application file itself for any non-Image File Wrapper (IFW) abandoned published application. When access to the IFW system is available in the File Information Unit (FIU) and/or Internet access to abandoned published IFW applications, such files will be provided to the public via the FIU and/or Internet. Since there is no paper file wrapper for IFW applications, if electronic access is not available to the public, then access to IFW files is only available by ordering a copy of the application-as-filed, the file contents of the published application or a specific document in the file of the published application from the Office of Public Records and payment of the appropriate fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.19(b). See 37 CFR 1.14(a)(1)(ii).

Access to an abandoned unpublished application may be provided to any person if a written request for access is submitted, and the abandoned application is identified or relied upon:

- (A) in a U.S. patent application publication or patent;
- (B) in statutory invention registration; or
- (C) in an international application that is published in accordance with PCT Article 21(2).

An application is considered identified in a document such as a patent when the application number or serial number and filing date, first named inventor, title and filing date or other application specific information are provided in the text of the patent, but